the water column, the seabed and the subsoil, as referred to in

Chapter 3

# Chapter 4 Contiguous Zone Article 7

The area adjacent to and exending from the outer limit of the territorial sea of the State to a distance of 24 nautical miles measured from the baseline, from which the breadth of the territorial sea is measured, is designated as the contiguous zo ne.

## Article 8

- 1. In the contiguous zone, the State shall exercise control in order to:
  - a. prevent infringement of its customs, tax immigration and health laws and regulations which are applicable within its territoryor territorial sea;
  - b. punish infringement of the above laws and regulations committed within its territory or territorial sea.
- 2. By State Decree further rules may be laid down for the purposes of the provisions of the above paragraph 1.
- 3. It is forbidden for everyone to remove objects of archaeological or historical value from the seabed within the contiguous zone without prior authorization granted by State Decree. Article 11 paragraphs 2 and 3 are applicable to such an authorization.

# Chapter 5 Exlusive Economic Zone Article 9

The area adjacent to and exending from the outer limit of the State's territorial sea to a distance of 200 nautical miles measured from the baseline, from which the breadth of the territorial sea is measured, is designated as the exclusive economic zone.

# Article 10

- 1. In the exclusive economic zone the State has:
  - a. sovereign rights for the purpose of eploring and eploiting, conserving and managing the natural resources, whether living and non-living, of the seabed and its subsoil as well as the waters super adjacent thereto, and with regard to other activities for the economic eploitation and eploration of the exclusive economic zone, such as the production of energyfrom the water, currents and winds;
  - b. jurisdiction with regard to:
    - (1) the establishment and use of artificial islands, installations and structures;
    - (2) marine scientific research;
    - (3) the protection and preservation of the marine environment;
  - c. other rights and duties provided for in international law.
- 2. The State shall excise its rights and jurisdiction with due regard for intern ational law.

- 1. It is forbidden for everyone, without prior authorization granted byState Decree, to perform in the exclusive economic zone anyacts which infringe the sovereign rights as referred to in Article 10 or the jurisdiction relating to the subjects mentioned in Article 10 paragraph 1 under b sub 1 and 2.
- 2. By State Decree general conditions may be issued which must be met by any concession holder in relation to among other things the protection of the environment, the protection of the freedom of conducting scientific research on the seabed. When granting authorization, specific conditions maybe laid down in addition or obligations attached thereto.
- 3. Any authorization maybe revoked at all times on account of acting in contravention of one or more of the conditions or obligations under which the same has been granted and furthermore, at the exclusive discretion of the competent authority, for reasons of public interest or the interests of the State. Any corresponding decision shall men tion the reasons on which it is based. Derogation from the foregoing is allowed in special cases.

# Article 12

- 1. It is forbidden for everyone to effect any deliberate disposal of wastes and other matter within the exclusive economic zone, without prior authorization granted by State Decree. Article 11, paragraphs 2 and 3, is applicable to such authorization.
- 2. Deliberate disposal of wastes and other matter means:
  - a. anydeliberate disposal of wastes and other matter from vessels, aircraft, platforms or other man-made structures at sea;
  - b. anydeliberate disposal of vessels, aircraft, platforms or other man -made structures at sea;
  - c. storage on the seabed and its subsoil of waste or other matter from vessels, aircraft, platforms or other man-made structures at sea; and,
  - d. abandonment or on-site tilting of platforms or other man-made structures at sea.
- 3. Deliberate disposal of wastes and other matter does not include:
  - a.

- 1. Over the continental shelf the State shall have:
  - a. sovereign rights for the purpose of eploring and epl oiting the natural resources of the shelf, including living organisms belonging to the sedentary species, that is to say, organisms which, at the harvestable stage, either are immobile on or under the seabed or are unable to move except in constant physical contact with the seabed or the subsoil;
    b. jurisdiction with regard to:

    the establishment and use of artificial islands, installations and structures;
    marine scientific research;

  - (3) the protection and preservation of the marine environment;c. other rights and duties provided for in international law.

2. The State shall exercise its rights and jurisdiction with due regard for international law.

## Article 16

It is forbidden for everyone, without prior authorization granted byState Decree, to perform on the continental shelf any acts which infringe the sovereign rights as referred to in rebyStatnt-1.1-o (a)4 (c)12

the continental shelf of a neighbouring State, the sideward limit of these zones shall be established by means of agreement with the State concerned on the basis of international law.

## Article 21

The Minister of Foreign Affairs shall publish the baseline, the outer limits of the territorial sea, the contiguous zone, the exclusive econom ic zone and the continental shelf of the State and the boundaries of these zones as established in accordance with Article 20.

## Chapter 8 Penal Provisions Article 22

Any deliberate infringement of regulations given by or in virtue of this act shall be punishable with imprisonment not exceeding sixears and a fine of the sixth category.

### Article 23

Non-deliberate infringtlingah of7 (ul)-2 (a)4 (2)-2 (i)-2 (ons)-1 ( )-10 (g)10 (i)-2 (c11y( o)2 (f)5 ( o) Tw5 <uA1•b-  $\div$ ?T (he)-6lnfsda

- 3. The order shall take effect, unless any interested party files an objection with the Court Registry within 30 days from its publication and further investigation does not offer proof that anypunishable act has been committed with regard to the items concerned.
- 4. The Procurator General maybring an appeal to the Court of Justice against the orders given byvirtue of paragraph 1, within fourteen days. The same applies to orders given in relation to anyobjection filed byvirtue of paragraph 3.

- 1. In addition to the persons designated byArticle 134 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, also charged with detecting acts made punishable under or byvirtue of this act are the authorized investigating officers of the Suriname Coast Guard and the persons designated by the Ministers of Foreign Affairs, of Agriculture, Animal Husbandryand Fisheries and of Natural Resources, in consultation with the Minister responsible for judicial affairs and the Procurator General.
- 2. The investigating officers are authorized at all times to confiscate, or demand deliveryfor the purposes of confiscation, all objects which may serve to detect the truth or which may be ordered confiscated, destroyed or rendered inoperable.

## Article 29

- 1. If the items confiscated pursuant to Article 28 comprise perishable goods or objects, the Procurator General maygrant permission to sell such goods or objects.
- 2. Such sale shall be effected in public by investigating officers in accordance with the relevant provisions in the Criminal Code.

# Chapter 9 Amendment of the 1980 Sea Fisheries Act and the Mining Decree Article 30

The following amendments shall be effected in the 1980 Sea Fisheries Act:

- A. Article 1 sub a shall read as follows:
- the act: the Maritime Zones Act of the State.
- B. Article 1 sub d shall read as follows:
  fishing zone: a zone which includes both the territorial sea, referred to and described in Articles 1, 2 and 3 of the Maritime Zones Act, and the exclusive economic zone, referred to and described in Articles 1, 9 and 10 of the Maritime Zones Act, as well as the continental shelf, referred to and described in Articles 1, 14 and 15 of the Maritime Zones Act.

## Article 31

The following amendments shall be effected in the Mining Decree:

- A. In Article 2 paragraph 2 the phrase the Act of 14 April 1978 (S.B. 1978 No. 26) shall be replaced by the Maritime Zones Act?
- B. In Article 2 paragraph 3 the sentence In the economic zone, i.e. the maritime area adjacent to the territorial sea of the Republic of Suriname, which zones is described in Article 3 of the Act of Act of 14 April 1978 (S.B. 1978 No. 26)' shall be replaced by the exclusive economic zone, which is described and determined in Article 1 sub f and Article 9 of the Maritime Zones Act'.
- C. Article 2 paragraph 4 shall read: On the continental shelf. i.e. the seabed and its subsoil of the zone beyond the territorial sea, referred to and described in Articles 14 and 15 of this act, the State shall exercise also exclusive sovereign rights in relation to the exploration and exploitation of minerals.

# Chapter 10 General, Transitional and Final Provisions Article 32

- 1. If the matters provided for in this act require further regulation in the interest of an appropriate implementation of this act, this maybe arranged byState Decree.
- 2. On the entryinto force of this act, the Act of 14 April 1978 providing for the exension of the territorial sea of the Republic of Suriname and the establishment of the adjacent economic zone (S.B. 1978 No. 26) shall be repealed.

# Article 33

The following annexs pertaining to this act shall be an integral part of this Act:

- 1. Chart of the outer limit of the Maritime Zones of the State.
- 2. List of geographical coordinates of the outer limit of the continental shelf of the State.

# Article 34

1. Without prejudice to the provisions of this act, the State exercises its supervisory and protective functions in the territorial sea, the exclusive economic zone and the contine ntal shelf, in accordance with or pursuant to:

1° the Act Establishing the Coast Guard;
 2° the Sea Fisheries Act 1980;
 3° the Fisheries Resources Protection Act 1961;
 4° the Shipping Act;
 5° the Mining Decree;
 6° the Criminal Code;

2. The list of acts as referred to under paragraph 1 maybe amended byState Decree.

- 1. This act maybe cited as: Maritime Zones Act.
- 2. It shall be published in the Bulletin of Acts and Decrees of the Republic of Suriname.
- 3. It shall become effective as of the dayfollowing the dayof its promulgation.
- 4. The Ministers of Natural Resources, of Agriculture, Animal Husbandryand Fisheries, and of Foreign Affairs shall be in charge of the implementation of this Act.

Done in Paramaribo, on 7 April 2017

# DESIRÉD. BOUTERS E

Issued in Paramaribo, 5 May2017 The Minister of Home Affairs,

# M.M.F. NOERSALIM.

#### EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

#### GENERAL

The present act aims to laydown the size of the maritime zones of the Republic of Suriname and the powers which the Republic of Suriname mayexrcise in these zones in one act. This act is to replace of the Act of 14 April 1978 exending the territorial sea of the Republic of Suriname and establishing the adjacent economic zone (hereinafter referred to as the Act of 14 April 1978). Apart from the solution ultimatelyadopted of drafting a new act, it was considered to adjust the Act of 14 April 1978. For a number of reasons, the following approach has been adopted. In the first place it maybe noted that the Act of 14 April 1978 was drafted at the time negotiations on the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (hereinafter referred to as the Maritime Law Convention) were ongoing at the third Conference of the United Nations on the law of the sea, which was held between 1973 and 1982. As a consequence, the authors of the Act of 14 April 1978 were unable to make use of the final tex of the Law of the Sea Convention. Suriname ratified the Law of the Sea Convention on 9 July 1998. In light of this ratification and the fact that the Law of the Sea Convention is generally accepted as the framework for regulating the rights and duties of coastal states in relation to their maritime zones, it is considered appropriate to align the relevant legislation of Suriname to the provisions of the Convention in everyrespect. Secondly, it can be noted that the Act of 14 April 1978 does not contain any provision regarding the definition of the contiguous zone and the continental shelf, or the substantive regimes of these zones. Finally, it is considere d desirable to give a further definition of Suriname's baseline which is relevant to determining the outer limit of the maritime zones of Suriname and to provide for the publishing of the position of the boundaries of Suriname's maritime zones.

This concerns Suriname's obligations under the Law of the Sea Convention which at the same time serve Suriname's interests, i.e. clarifying the size of Suriname's maritime zones and thus the scope of Suriname's legislation applicable to these zones. Suriname's maritime zones comprise the territorial sea (Article 2, Chapter 2), the contiguous zone (Article 4, Chapter 7), the exclusive economic zone (Article 9, Chapter 5) and the continental shelf (Article 14, Chapter 6). The integration of the above matters would result in a complexamendment process relating to the Act of 14 April 1978. For this reason it was decided to draft a new law. Here, it should be noted that, insofar it is considered appropriate, the present act builds on the Act of 14 April 1978. This relates, for example, to the penal provisions contained in Articles 7 through 16 of the Act of 14 April 1978. These provisions have been incorporated almost in full in the present act, whereby the articles were renumbered and the maximum amounts adjusted for the f ines mentioned in the penal provisions.

As can be assumed from the foregoing, this act is specifically aimed at using the possibilities offered by the Law of the Sea Convention to further complete the definition of Surinames' maritime zones in order to ex rcise the powers of the coastal states in these zones under this Convention. This is reflected, among other things, in the establishment of the contiguous zone provided for. In this zone, which is adjacent to the territorial sea and can exend up to 24 nautical miles from the coast, by virtue of Article 33 of the Law of the Sea Convention, the coastal state may exercise the control necessary to: (a) prevent infringement of its customs, fiscal, immigration or sanitary laws and regulations within its territory or territorial sea; (b) punish infringement of the above laws and regulations committed within its territory territorial sea. In

addition, Article 303, paragraph 2, of the Law of the Sea Convention confers on the coastal state the right to take measures for the protection of objects of an archaeological and historical nature found on the seabed in the contiguous zone.

As mentioned above, the act also provides for a further definition of Suriname's baseline. Specificallythe determination of the closing lines in the major rivers of Suriname is of interest in this regard. These closing lines not only form part of Suriname's baseline for the purposes of determining the outer limit of Suriname's maritime zones, but they also form the boundary between the territorial sea and the internal waters of Suriname. Under the Law of the Sea Convention, vessels of other states enjoythe right of innocent passage through the territorial sea, in accordance with the provisions of the Convention, whereas this right does not exist in the internal waters. Innocent passage is a maritime law principle, according to which vessels may traverse the territorial waters of another state if they respect certain restrictions. These restrictions are included in Article 19 of the Law of the Sea Convention and a further specification of the restrictions maybe found in Article 19, paragraph 2, of the Law of the Sea Convention.

This act also provides for a definition of the continental shelf and the determination of the outer edge of the continental margin of Suriname. On 5 December 2008, in accordance with Article 76 of the Law of the Sea Convention, Suriname submitted data on this outer edge with the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf. On 30 March 2011 the Commission presented recommendations on the proposed outer edge to Suriname. In its recommendations, the Commission based itself on Suriname's proposal of 17 March 2011 regarding the outer edge of the continental margin. This proposal filed by Suriname, slightly deviated for two of the in total 19 points of the outer edge of the continental margin from the outer edge which was proposed to the Commission on 5 December 2008. With the recommendations presented by the Commission, Suriname can proceed to delimit the outer edge of the continental margin. As provided byArticle 76, paragraph 10 of the Law of the Sea Convention, such final determination of the outer edge of ths (e)3.9 Tad [(7)]TJ -0.007r li-7 ( li-7 pj Td [(ths)-1Cu maceed)-145( t)d [(t is3 ( pr)ed)id [(7)uc7 5-7 e4 (u)-4 (t)-6 Tw 0 (8

Through the opportune establishment of regulations, the division of potential costs relating to the implementation of article 82 between the Suriname Government and the companies involved in the epolitation of the resources will be transparent prior to the start of epoloration and epoloitation activities.

## EXPLANATORY NOTES ON INDIVIDUAL ARTICLES

# Chapter 2 –Territorial Sea Article 2

Article 2 of the Act defines the legal status of the territorial sea and the air space over the territorial sea and its subsoil. Article 2 aligns to Article 1 of the Law of the Sea Convention and in essence marches Article 1 of the Act of 14 April 1978. Suriname's sovereigntyetends to the territorial sea, the air space over the territorial sea and the subsoil of the territorial sea. The main restriction on exercising t he sovereignty is the right of innocent passage by vessels of all States. Paragraph 4 of Article 2 confirms the eistence of this right, which must be exercised in accordance with the provisions of the Law of the Sea Convention (Articles 17 through 32). The Law of the Sea Convention also mentions the possibilities open to the coastal state to take measures against passage which is not innocent.

# Article 3

Article 3 of the Act establishes that the breadth of the territorial sea is 12 nautical miles. One nautical mile equals 1852 metres. The breadth of 12 nautical miles corresponds to the main breadth possible in accordance with Article 3 of the Law of the Sea Convention. This has been the breadth of Suriname's territorial sea since the entry into effect of 14 April 1978.

This would mean that a large part of these rivers would form part of the territorial sea. Because it is generally recognized that such a situation is undesirable, international law allows the coastal state to draw a straight line between the banks of a river in these cases. Insofar as this straight line is on the seaward side of the low-water line, thus in sea, this straight line forms also part of the baseline.

In determining straight baselines in the four major rivers of Suriname, Article 10 of the Law of the Sea Convention on bays is of relevance and not so Article 9, which refers to rivers. As appears from the origins of Article 9, this provision applies to rivers that flow directly into the sea, without forming an estuary In the case of estuaries, Article 10 of the Law of the Sea Convention may be applied by analogy. All large rivers in Suriname form an estuary and consequently, for the purposes of drawing closing lines in these rivers, Article 10 of the Law of the Sea Convention may be taken into consideration. Article 10 of the Law of the Sea Convention provides, among other things, that the maxmum length of such closing lines can amount to 24 nautical miles. This provision has no practical meaning for Suriname, since possible closing lines measure less than this distance.

Apart from Article 5 and Article 10 of the Law of the Sea Convention, Article 13 of the Convention may also be mentioned, which provides for low-tide elevations. A low-tide elevations is defined in Article 13 paragraph 1 as a naturally formed area of land which is surrounded by and above water at low tide but submerged at high tide." In t he event that a low-tide elevations is situated wholly or partly at a distance not exceeding the breadth of the territorial sea from the mainland or an island, the low-water line on that elevation maybe used as the baseline for measuring the breadth of the territorial sea (Law of the Sea Convention, Article 13 paragraph 1). According to the relevant nautical charts a low-tide elevation is situated at the mouth of the Marowijne, which can form part of the baseline of Suriname. The northernmost part of this low-tide elevation, which is on the seaward side of the proposed closing line in the Marowijne, is relevant for the purpose of determining the outer limit of Surinames' territorial sea. In view of the dynamics of Suriname's coast, is cannot be ruled out that in the future, other low-tide elevations will emerge which will be of relevance for determining Suriname's baseline. The Act of 14 April 1978 Article 2 states that Suriname for the purpose of determining the outer limit of the territorial sea 'applies the zero metre isobath, the so-

Article 4 paragraph 2 implies that when these charts are republished, the new publications will be

of 17 September 2007 of the tribunal in the arbitrage under the Law of the Sea Convention between Suriname and Guyana. The award of the tribunal determines that the border in the territorial sea between the two countries starts at the point where a line of 10°drawn from the so-called marker B with coordinates 5°59'46.21'N; 57°08'50.48W intersects the low -water line. The award does not define the geographical coordinates of this point on the low-water line. The reason for this approach is eplained in the annexto the award of the tribunal.

This annex which contains the report by David Gray, the Tribunal's hydrographer, in respect to this point states:

The location of Point 1 of the Award is the intersection of Low Water Line (LWL) along the west bank of the Corentyne River and a geodetic line through Marker B"which has an initial azimuth of N10E. Since this point moves with any movement of the Low Water Line, no geographical coordinates can be provided" (Technical Report of the Tribunals Hydrographer, para.3).

The location of Point 1 can be determined in geographical coordinates on the basis of the relevant nautical chart applicable to this area at anygiven moment. Given the course of the low - water line along the west bank of the Corantijn River, the westernmost part of the line established is on the landward side of the low-water line. This means that the part of the line that helps form the baseline of Suriname now starts at the point with the geographical coordinates 6° wwwhe

along the coast would have been selected, it

the inadvertent removal of objects of an archaeological and historical nature within the scope of legitimate activities, such as fisheries.

# Chapter 5 -Exlusive Economic Zone

Chapter 5 of the Act is based on Articles 3 through 6 of the Act of 14 April 1978. In order to

activities mentioned in Article 10, paragraph 1 under b (1) and (2). This addition is necessary because of the wording of Article 10 of the Act which deviates from Article 4, paragraph 2 of the Act of 14 April 1978. It was decided not to refer also in Article 11 paragraph 1 of the Act to Article 10, paragraph 1 under b(3). Reference to this part of Article 10 in Article 11, paragraph 1, would result, for example, in any operational discharge of vessel becoming subject to authorization.

Besides, Article 33, paragraph 1 of the Act provides the possibility to further regulate the protection and preservation of the marine environment byState Decree.

#### Article 12

Article 12 of the Act forbids everyone to carry out any deliberate disposal of waste and other matter in the exclusive economic zone of Suriname without prior authorization granted by State Decree. Suriname's authority to prohibit the disposal of waste and other matter is based on Article 210, paragraph 5 of the Law of the Sea Convention. Further regulations in respect of the deliberate disposal of waste and other matter are included in the 1972 Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter and in the 1996 Protocol to this Convention. Suriname is party to both instruments. The definition of the prohibition on the deliberate disposal of waste and other matters and the exeptions thereto was aligned to the definition under Article 1, paragraph 4 of the 1996 Protocol.

#### Article 13

Article 58 of the Law of the Sea Convention guarantees other States a number of freedoms of

outer edge precisely. They also prescribe rules regarding the maixmum execution of the continental shelf. In the case of Suriname, the outer limit of the continental shelf cannot exceed 350 nautical miles from the baseline.

The main reason for adjusting the definition of the continental shelf as contained in the Mining

first instance relate to the Law of the Sea Convention. The Convention further provides for, *inter alia*, the exercise of jurisdiction over the construction and the use of artificial islands, installations and structures. The Convention also lays down in its Part XII the requirements to be met by the coastal state's legislation with respect to its exclusive economic zone in the field of the protection of the marine environment, and Part XIII of the Convention provides specifically for third States' access to the continental shelf of the coastal state for the purpose of conducting marine scientific research. Suriname is bound by these rules in exercising its sovereign rights and jurisdiction on the continental shelf.

#### Article 16

Article 16 of the Act refers to authorizations for acts in relation to matters over which Suriname has sovereign rights and jurisdiction on the continental shelf. This article is in accordance with Article 11 of the Act, which covers the same subject for the exclusive economic zone, edited.

#### Article 17

Article 17 of the Act forbids everyone to carry out any deliberate disposal of waste and other matter on the continental shelf of Suriname without prior authorization granted by State Decree. Suriname's authorit y to prohibit the disposal of waste and other matter is based on Article 210, paragraph 5 of the Law of the Sea Convention. Article 17 applies the same definitions as Article 12 of the Act to determine which actions maybe considered as deliberate disposal of waste and other matter. These provisions are especially of importance on that part of Suriname's continental shelf that is on the seaward side of the outer limit of the exclusive economic zone. In this area, Suriname cannot exercise jurisdiction over t he deliberate disposal of waste and other matter on the basis of the jurisdiction Suriname has in the exclusive economic zone.

#### Article 18

Article 29 of the Law of the Sea Convention guarantees to other States the right to laysubmarine cables and pipelines on the continental shelf of the coastal state. This right must be excreded by other States in accordance with the provisions of Article 79. Article 18 of the Act contains a corresponding provision.

#### Article 19

Article 19 of the Act provides for the possibility to lay down rules by State Decree for the implementation of Article 82 of the Law of the Sea Convention which obligates coastal state to make payments or contributions to the international community with respect to the epolitation of mineral resources on the continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles. Such payments and contributions must be made annually with respect to all production at a site after the first five years of production (Article 82 paragraphs 1 and 2). Article 82 paragraph 3 of the Law of the Sea Convention lists the exeptions to making these payments and contributions for developing countries. These are possibly of relevance of Suriname as well. Such relevance may be determined at the moment Article 82 shall be implemented bySu riname.

The obligation to make payments and contributions under Article 82 of the Law of the Sea Convention is on the State and not on the companies actually exacting the mineral resources

determined in accordance with Article 20 of the Act. Article 21 lays down the procedure by which Suriname will fulfil its obligations to give publicity to these lines and boundaries to be implemented under the Law of the Sea Convention. This serves a Surinamese interest as well. Other States, and vessels and persons in the maritime zone of Suriname, will not be able to claim that sufficient publicity has not been given to the exent of the maritime zones of Suriname.

The Law of the Sea Convention includes a number of obligations for Suriname with regard to giving publicity to the boundaries of its maritime zones. In the first place, mention maybe made of Article 16 of the Convention which relates to certain baselines and limits of the territorial sea. In the case of Suriname, Article 16 means that now information must be supplied about the boundary of the territorial sea between Suriname and Guyana, which has been set in accordance with Article 15 of the Law of the Sea Convention. Publicity must also be given to information about the closing lines across the major rivers in accordance with Article 16 of the Law of the sea Convention. Article 16 does not contain any obligation in respect of the baseline of the territorial sea where this is the normal baseline in accordance with Article 5 of the Law of the sea Convention. The same applies to the outer limit of the territorial sea where this is set on the basis of the normal baseline. These lines maynow also be determined already on the basis of the nautical charts which are officially recognized by Suriname.

Article 75 of the Law of the Sea Convention provides for giving publicity to the outer limits of the exclusive economic zone and the delimitation of this zone between neighbouring countries, and Article 84 of the Law of the Sea Convention provides for giving publicity to the outer limits of the continental shelf and the delimitation of this zone between neighbouring countries. Article 84 is virtually identical to Article 75 of the Convention which relates to the exclusive ec onomic zone. The onlyreal difference concerns Article 84 paragraph 2 which contains the obligation in the case of the outer limit of the continental shelf to deposit information not only with the SecretaryGeneral of the United Nations but also with the SecretaryGeneral of the International Seabed Authority. This additional obligation is explained by the fact that the outer limit of the continental shelf also determines where the international seabed area starts, to which an international regime for mining is applicable, with a central regulatory power resting on the Authority. In addition to Article 84 of the Law of the Sea Convention, also of relevance for Suriname is Article 76 paragraph 9 of the Law of the Sea Convention in relation to giving publicity to the outer limits of its continental shelf. This provision differs in one important aspect of the other provisions relating to giving publicity to the limits of the maritime zones. In the event that a coastal state has implemented Article 76 paragraph 9, the outer limit is in principle final and can no longer be modified by the coastal state. Before a coastal state can implement Article 76 paragraph 9 in relation to its outer limit beyond 200 nautical miles, the other provisions of Article 76 must be implemented. The recommendations of the Commission to Suriname regarding the limits of the continental shelf enable Suriname to establish the definitive outer limits of the continental shelf. Article 14 of the Act determines the outer limits of the continental shelf on the basis of the recommendations of the Commission. In accordance with Article 21 of the Act, the Minister of Foreign Affairs will deposit relevant information on the outer limit with the Secretary General of the United Nations, which shall result in the final determination of this outer limit with respect to other States.

The low-water line along the coast of Suriname is subject to constant changes. Recordings along the coast of Suriname by the Suriname Maritime Authority will point out these ch anges and subsequently result in a modification of the low-water line along the coast on the relevant nautical charts. The latter modification mayresult in a modification of the closing

lines in the major rivers of Suriname and the outer limit of the territorial sea, the contiguous zone and the exclusive economic zone of Suriname. This is not the case as regards the continental shelf, because the outer limit of this zone established will be final upon the entryinto force of the Act and the implementation of Article 21. Future modifications in the position of the closing lines in the major rivers of Suriname and the outer limit of the exclusive economic zone will have to be given publicity to in accordance with the obligation under the Law of the Sea Convention.

### **Chapter 8 – Penal Provisions**

The penal provisions included in Articles 22 through 29 contained in Chapter 8 of the Act are substantively similar to the penal provisions contained in Articles 7 through 16 of the Act of 14 April 1978. Practices in respect of the penal provisions did not give rise to any revision. References to the amount of the fines in the relevant provisions of the Act of 14 April 1978, which are expressed in Suriname guilders, have been updated.

International law imposes a number of restrictions to the application of penal provisions. Article 73 of the Law of the Sea Convention includes a number of restrictions regarding enforcement of laws and regulations relating to the exercise of sovereign rights by the coastal s tate over the living resources in the exclusive economic zone. Article 73 paragraph 2 provides that arrested vessels and their crews must be promptly released upon the posting of reasonable bond or other security. It is in principle up to the coastal state to determine what is reasonable in any specific case. Article 73 paragraph 3 provides that the coastal state's penalties for violations of fisheries laws and regulations in the exclusive economic zone may not include imprisonment or other form of corporal punishment, unless agreements to the contrarybythe states concerned.

Section 7 of Part XII of the Law of the Sea Convention relates to safeguards in the exercise of powers with respect to the provisions on prevention, reduction and control and pollution of the marine environment. These provisions are particularly important for cases which involve vessels flying the flag of another State.

## Chapter 9 -Amendment of the Offshore Fishing Act 1980 and the Mining Decree Article 30

Article 30 of the Act provides for two amendments of the Act of 31 December 1980 regulating sea fishing (Offshore Fishing Act). These amendments are required because of the proposed repeal of the Act of 14 April 1978 in connection with the entryinto force of the present Maritime Zones Act. In the first place, it concerns the reference to the Act of 14 April 1978 contained in Article 1 under (a) of the Offshore Fishing Act. This reference must be replaced upon the entry into force of the Maritime Zones Act by a reference to the present Act. Secondly, Article 30 of the Offshore Fishing Act aims to be applicable also to the continental shelf. The practical significance of this lies in the fact that the continental shelf of Suriname exends beyond the exlusive economic zone of Suriname. Pursuant to Article 77 paragraph 4 of the Law of the Sea Convention the coastal state holds sovereign rights for exploring and exploiting the living organisms belonging to sedentary species. The Offshore Fishing Act of 31 December 1980 is currently not applicable to sedentary species fisheries on the part of the continental shelf of Suriname beyond 200 nautical miles.

Article 31 of the Act contains three amendments on the Mining Decree of 8 May 1986. Firstly, this concerns two amendments because of the proposed repeal of the Act of 14 April 1978 in connection with the entryinto force of the present Maritime Zones Act. The Decree in article 2 paragraphs 2 and 3 refers to the Act of 14 April 1978. On the entryinto force of the present Act, this reference must be replaced by a reference to the present Act.

The amendment of Article 2 paragraph 4 of this Decree is a consequence of the inclusion of a provision on the exent of the continental shelf in the present Act. The new wording of Article 2 paragraph 4 of the Decree aligns with the wording of paragraphs 2 and 3 of this Article, which provide for the land territory including the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone.

# Chapter 10 -General, transitional and final provisions Article 32

Article 32 paragraph 1 provides that if the matters regulated in this Act require further regulation in the interest of an appropriate implementation of this Act, this may be arranged by State Decree. Article 32 paragraph 1 is in accordance with Article 17 of the Act of 14 April 1978. Paragraph 2 stipulates that the Act of 14 April 1978 shall be repealed at the time the present Act shall enter into force.

## Article 34

The State excrises its supervisory and protective functions in the territorial sea, the exclusive economic zone and the continental shelf, in accordance with or pursuant to the provisions of the Act Establishing the Coast Guard, the Offshore Fisheries Act 1980, the Fisheries Resources Protection Act 1961, the Shipping Act. This list of legal regulations shall be amended by State Decree.

## Article 35

Article 35 paragraph 1 of the Act stipulates that the short title of the act shall be: Maritime Zones Act. Article 35 paragraphs 2 and 3 of this Act concern the publication of the Act, and set the date of the entryinto force of the Act. Article 33 paragraph 4 stipulates that the ministers of Natural Resources, of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries, and of Foreign Affairs shall be responsible for the implementation of this Act.

Paramaribo, 7 April 2017

# **DESIRÉD. BOUTERSE**