PERMANENT MISSION OF THAILAND TO THE UNITED NATIONS

351 EAST 52ND STREET- NEW YORK, NY 10022 TEL (212) 754-2230 • FAX (212) 688-3029 Mr. Chairman,

At the outset, my delegation wishes to congratulate the International Law Commission on the success of the sixfifth session. The Thai delegation would like to express our appreciation to Mr. Bernd H. Niehaus, for his excellent chairmanship, as well as other members of the bureau for their hard work and dedicatioduring the current sessio@ur appreciation also goes to all members of the Commission for their contribution to turther the progressive development and codification of international law

Thailand would like togive some comments in the current work of the Commission Chapter IVregardingSubsequenAgreements an SubsequenPractice inRelation to the Interpretation of Treaties Chapter V RegardingImmunity of StateOfficials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction, and Chapter XII: Other decisions and conclusions of the Commission

Chapter IV: SubsequenAgreements an SubsequenPractice in Relation to the Interpretation of Treaties

Mr. Chairman

With regard to Chaptell , my delegation joins the other peakers in congratulating Professor Georg Nolte on his first report. The Thai delegation would like to offer some of our observations on the Comparovision allowadopted draft conclusion on Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice in Relation to Interpretation of Treaties as follows.

Firstly, Thailand supports the conclusion that "a subsequent agreement does not a mauthengior e e m expression of the will of the parties. We also agree that such subsequent agreement does not a may be to the same title at the agreement design interpreted also as it is an agreement regarding the interpretation of the treaty or the application of its provision because to the original treaty may choose

Secondly my delegation reservesur position regarding the accuracythe statements in paragraph 6 in the Commentary to draft Conclusion 3. This is bedtaesterms accompanied by footnotes 92 to 95 are thoseted by Judge Guillaumen his Declaration in Dispute regarding Navigational and Related Rights The Declaration in a the lend twithhedde Judge respect, does not necessarily freet the judgments of the courter tribunals concerned in this context, my delegationals or reserves our position regarding the areacty of the reference to the term "wate

which is added by the Commission footnote 92of Document A/68/10although Judge Guillame never mentions this terms all in his aforesaid Declaration

Thirdly, on paragraph 2 and 3 Of onclusion 4 e s p e c i a 1 1 y t h e u s e o f t h e part of the definition of subsequent practione look forward to a clarification for the selection of the word at a later stage of the work on this is, swelich is already <math>u s e d i n t h e Commies son o n's the responsibility of States for ternationally wrongful acts

Lastly, regarding Conclusion 5 on attribution of subsequent practice, Thailand would appreciate further explanation and elaboration paragraph, 2on the conduct by no restate actors which may be relevant when assessing the subsequent practice of parties to all threat type actors averoles and purposes on the international plane which differom those of State Parties in order for conducts of non-state actors be relevant they should be proven with a degree of certainty that hey do not conflict with how State Parties intend to interpret the treather efore, the area criteria might need to be adopted.

Chapter V Immunityof StateOfficials from ForeignCriminal Jurisdiction

Mr. Chairman

Allow me to turn to Chapter Von 'Immunity of States officials from foreign criminal i u r i s .d i c t i o n "

First of all, Thailand would like tocommendSpecial RapporteurMadameConcepcion Escobar Hernandeznd other ILC membersand congratulate them on the conclusion of draft articles. At a preliminary stage, we into the share some observation

Regarding the substance of the topwie, would like to begin bypresetting a clear picture of Thai domestic lawwhich might have severalcharacters common with other national legislation. As a party to the Vienna Convention Diplomatic Relations 1961 and the the Convention on Consular Relations 1963 Thailand grants immunity from criminal jurisdiction to persons indicated in both Conventions Thailand also accords immunity to persons covered to the top to the Convention of the Convention of the top the to

Beyond the aforesaid, Thai courts have had exposerience in dealing with the immunity of foreign State officials from T h a i 1 catiminal jurisdiction. Thailand is not a State Party to the Convention on Special Missions, either. Therefor thailand wishesto reserve our position the I L C 's work on this topic until a later stage when we can judge whether the I L C 's w

Mr. Chairman,

In the past few months, the international community has raissence erns over the protection of personal data in transborder flow of informat@sincethis topic has already been included in the ILC 's I-term programme of work since 2006, my delegation beginning to the statement of the international community has raissence erns over the protection of personal data in transborder flow of informat@sincethis topic has already been included in the ILC 's I-term programme of work since 2006, my delegation gets.