

- 16. This finding was echoed in South American Silver v. Boffvindhere the Tribunal noted that most of the investment jurisprudence that had been invoked to support the existence of the clean hands doctrine had rejected investor claims "based on the appropriate treaty provisions or the applicable national law without basing their decisions on exame claims doctrine or advancing it as a general principle of international aw."
- 17. These observations give rise to the question of whether recognition of gentainal principles of law must be specifically proved such principles to be applied, and, so, what sort of materials may serve as proper evidence of recognition. The Special Rapporteur may wish to consider this question in one of britiscoming report.

FUNCTIONS OF GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- 18. Finally, the Commission has expressed an interestaving the Special Rapporteur address the functions of general principles in one of his future reports
- 19. In this regard, several tribunals in P@Alministered proceedings have applied generhi

- 21. Another example can be found in the boundarbitration between Republic of Croatia and the Republic of Slovenia The tribunal noted the parties' agreement that the land boundary was not disputed in segments where each State's cadastral limits under municipal law were aligned, antidusdetermined that the aligned limits constituted the boundary. It did so on the basis that "it is well-stablished in international law that tribunals should presume, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, that States act consistently with their legal obligation and that steps that have been taken, and instruments that have been adopted by States are consistent with those obligations. This is sometimes expressed in the Latin maxim omnia praesumuntur rite esse acta: all acts are presumed to have been duly done."
- 22. In the PCA case of Venezuela US, S.R.L. (Barbados) v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuelathe tribunal was faced with the issue of whetheaptpoly and enforce most favoured nation clause in the relevant treaty allowings application to investe tate dispute settlement. Tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other choice where the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that other than the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that the tribunal stated in its interim award that it that the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award that it the tribunal stated in its interim award t