

Madam Chair,

With regard to the topic of  
, my delegation would like to express its appreciation to the ILC for successfully completing its Plenary Session discussions on the Special Rapporteur's 8<sup>th</sup> Report and provisionally adopting Draft Articles 8 , 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12. The six draft articles encompass truly crucial procedural issues in applying the immunity of State officials in domestic courts. The Korean Government is of th Ê

the work of the ILC this  
year.

First, my delegation would like to express its gratitude to the Commission for its efforts to shed light on some procedural issues in the exercise of State criminal jurisdiction to officials of another State amidst the difficulties posed by the diversity of legal systems. In this regard, my delegation welcomes the decision by the Drafting Committee to use, in Draft Articles 8 and 9, general terminology such as "initiation of criminal proceedings" or "coercive measures" instead of legal terminology specific to the legal systems of the respective countries. Furthermore, we welcome the Commission's decision not to identify the authorities with the competence to make decisions relating to the waiver of immunity.

Second, taking into account that there can be a diverse range of different forms of

