Statement by the Republic of Cyprus

Report of the International Law Commission [item 78]
Chapter IX: Sea-level rise in relation to international law (Cluster II)
Sixth Committee, 77 UN General Assembly,1 Nov. 2022

MadameChair,

My delegation vishes to comment on hapter IX: Sealevel rise in relation to international law the outsetwe would like tothank the CoChairsof the Study Groupon sealevel rise in relation to international law. Galvão Teles and Mr. Ruda Santolafibar the preparation of the condissues paper on issues related to tatehood and to the protection of persons affected by escarise (A/CN.4/752) issued in April 2022, together with a selecter bibliography (A/CN.4/752/Add.1) as well as for their remarks and proposal that were LQFOXGHGLQWKH &ÿðáæD€UYØF

Cyprus appreciates the important work already conducted by the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf (CLCS) in guiding States in fixing permanent basedimeshis Study Group is encouraged to consult on the most recent findings by the CLCS and consider it for future reports.

Moreover, baselines must be permanent and not ambulators too achieve greater predictability on maritime boundaries, in line with UNCLOSustomary international lawand international jurisprudence. Cyprus also supports the view that States can draw permanent baselines, which would withstand coastal erosionixing baselines at a certain point in time by way of maritime delimitation agreement and the decisions of the ICJ, ITLOS and arbitral tribunals established pursuant to UNCLOS, and other means is also consistent with the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties ³ 9 & / 7. In this respect the principle of fundamental change of circumstances us sic stantibus enshrined in Article 62(1) of the VCL4 would have no effect on existing maritime delimitation treaties. Article 62(2)(a) of VCLT specifically provides that a fundamental change of FLUFXPVWDQFHV PD\ QRW EH LQYRNHG DV JURXQGV IRU WI WUHDW\ HVWDEOThis kaphbroada e Earbles CS Cate suto control the eption tective legal measure by the publication of their baseline or through concluding delimitation agreents. the effects of rising sea levels on baselines should have no legal effect on the status of a concluded maritime treaty Additionally, it should be stressed that boundaries, including maritime boundaries, may continue to exist even if the treaty by virtue of which they were established is no longer in force. Moreover, maritime boundaries designated by international judicial bodies should al remain intact in case of rising sea levels.

^{1 8}

¹ Maritime Boundary Arbitration in the Bay of Bengthdia v. Bangladesh Award, 7 July 2014, ¶¶ 214 ³, Q W K H view of the Tribunal, this argument is not relevarite issue is not whether the coastlines of the Parties will be affected by climate change in the years or centuries to contest rather whether the choice of base points located on the coastline and reflecting the general direction of the coast is feasible in the present case and at the presentetime bunal is concerned with the "physical reality at the time of determination. It need not address the issue of the future instability of the coastline.' (PSKDVLV DGGHG

² \$ U W L F O H 9A&fundathehDaCcNange of circumstances which has occurred with regard textisting at the time of the conclusion of a treaty, and which was not foreseen by the parties, may not be invoked as a ground for terminating or withdrawing from the treaty unless:

We, therefore, affirm and reiterate our prior observations that the limitation on the application of the principle of clausula rebus sic stantibus provided for in Article 62(2) of the VCLT, applies to maritime boundaries as affirmed by jurisprudence, which recognizes that there was no distinction between land and maritime boundaries. This view is contingent on and reflects the pertinent international jurisprudence.

Cyprus brought this point the attention of this Commission during the prior Session; yet, this established position is not reflected in the 2022 Study Group Report. We call on the Members of the Study Group to include this important and established principle in its work.

Secondon the doctrine of Statehood.

Cyprus thanks the Commission for the inclusion of our remarks on Statehood in the 2022 Report particularly with reference to the words of latedge James Crawford > D @ 6 W D W H L V Q R W extinguished by statantial changes in territory, population or government, or even, in some cases, E \ D F R P E L Q D W L⁷R Q R I D O O W K U H H ´

&\SUXV UHFRJQL]HV WKH 6WXG\ *URXS¶V IRFXirVals & KolowWhKH FUIDV 3WKH FRGLILF Do Mekis & Rich of Neich & Michal of States & Recall & 933 Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States, the 1936 Resolution of States & Droit International and the 1949 Draft Declaration on Rights and Duties of States.

As noted in the Report, the Convien on the Rights and Duties of States provides that the rights of D 6 W D W H G H U L Y H I U R P W K H V L P S O H I D F W R I L W V H [L V W H Q F that the fundamental rights of States are not susceptible of being affected iangrey representation of Rights and Duties of States should take account of the decisions of the Security Council of the Lend Nations which are of paramount importance for cases of statehood. Furthermore, the matter of the preservation of an affected population as people for the purposes of exercising the right of sate termination, we note the observation paragraph 199 that the Commissionshould keepin mind the special historical and legal contexts of the right of self determination and we emphasize that the inciple of self determination was transmuted into a right underinternational law in the cose of the decolonization moveme. It and has always been applied to situations of colonial the or foreign occupation

⁶ Sealevel Rise in Relation to International Law (Second Issues Paper, 19 April 2022, A/CN.4/752), ¶¶ 37, 190; Cyprus (A/C.6/73/SR.23, ¶ 48; A/C.6/74/SR.30, ¶ 102; and A/C.6/76/25,¶ 101).

⁷ J. Crawford, The Creation of States in International Lawarendon Press, nd rev. ed. 2006).

⁸ Sealevel Rise in Relation to International Law (Second Issues Paper, 19 April 2022, A/CN.4/752), p. 21.

⁹ Legal Consequences of the Separation of the Chagos Archipelago from Mauritius (Ad9856ry Opinion) [2019] paras 150160.

Third, on the absence of a dedicated legal framework and of a distinct legal status for persons affected by seaevel.

Cyprus notes that there is no binding international legal instrument that specifically addresses cross border movements induced by climate change and for the protection of persons forcibly displaced due to the adverse effects of climate change, such development of such an initiative.

I thank you for your attention.