



PERMANENT MISSION OF SINGAPORE  
TO THE UNITED NATIONS

318 EAST 48<sup>TH</sup> STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10017  
TEL: (212) 826 0840 FAX: (212) 826 2964

STATEMENT BY MR NATHANIEL KHNG ,  
COUNSELLOR (LEGAL) , PERMANENT MISSION OF SINGAPORE  
TO THE UNITED NATIONS, FOR CLUSTER 4 DEBATE, ON AGENDA  
ITEM 80 ON CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY , RESUMED SESSION OF  
THE SIXTH COMMITTEE ,  
3 APRIL 2024

---

Thank you Mr Chairperson

Singapore has comments in connection with draft article 13.

2 In particular, Singapore wishes to address the suggestion that there should be explicit language for the refusal of extradition to a State that applies the death penalty without a guarantee by that State that the death penalty would not be used in the case of the person being extradited, and the suggestion that there should be an additional paragraph according to which nothing in a future treaty could be interpreted as imposing an obligation to extradite when there are substantial grounds for believing that a person may face punishment inconsistent with fundamental human rights such as the death penalty, and other similar suggestions and proposals. One country that made such a suggestion did so even

draft article 6, paragraph 7 may include the death penalty. Beyond the multilateral conventions addressing crimes on which draft article 13 is based do not contain language similar to what has been suggested or proposed. Third, there is no need for the additional language that has been suggested or proposed. Draft article 13, paragraph 7, already clearly provides that extradition is to be subject to the conditions provided for by the national law of the requested State or by applicable extradition treaties, including the grounds upon which the requested State may refuse extradition, and paragraph 19 of the Commentary on draft article 13 specifically cites a prohibition on extradition where the offence at issue is punishable by the death penalty as an example of conditions under the requested State's law. Additionally, the Commission has noted that there is no reason to depart from what is provided for in other multilateral conventions addressing crimes, upon which draft article 13, paragraph 7 is modelled, considering that no delegation has disagreed with either the Commission's proposed text or the legal effect of similar provisions in existing conventions.

4 Thank you for your attention.

« « « « « «