## Subsidiary Means for the Determination of International Law (Chapter VII)

## Statement bpenmarkon behalf of the Nordic countries 31 Octobe 2023

Mr./Madam

like to congratulatthe International Law

Commission for having commenced its work on the topic of subsidiary formethes determination of rules of international. Native are grateful the Special Rapporteur, Mr. Charles C. Jallo and the Commission the work done on the topic thus far

The Nordic countries ZHOFRPH WKH a&& eRoth the topic Carl work forward with interest to its further consideration Overall, we support the proach of working towards a set of draft conclusions the outcome for this topic.

At this early stage of worth, e Nordic countries would likertoake the followingeneral comments as regards WKH 6SHFLDO 5DSSRUWHXU·V ILUVW UHSR the topic during its severfourth session

First of all, we would like to fully support the important contributions made by the Commission in promoting conceptalarity and consistency time application of the term 'VRXUFHLRQIWDRDT µFRQWH[WRIWKH&RPPLVVLRQ·VHQJ Statute thus far While there is no sitegRSHUDWLYHGHILQLWLRQRIWKH international legal practice or the total rise, clear that subsidiary means referred to in Article 38 (1) dare fa different nature that sources of law µinsofar as this term is applied as a reference to to massources of law, as the first repostets out to do.

Article 38 (1) d refeto something qualitatively different from the latter, namely erial source j.e. helpful, material

provide added perspective As rightly pointed out in the commentes provisionally adopted by the Commission draft conclusion 1 notably a careful study of arious authentidanguage versions the provisions hed important light in this regard

Th H ) U H Q F K D X W K H Q W L F W HnhotyenRaluxine W W L F LOOHH W K SI H 6DSN D X W K H Q W L F W H [ Wmedia W L i a Qu B outh Quind win Settline N i xi lia Ry, I i. é. helpful, character of such meants or the determination of rule scidentally, this does not contradict one of the earlies stablished interpretation N K H P H D Q L Q J the Entrol in Language when the provision was originally drafted in Language when the provision was originally drafted in Language when the sources in article 36. (a) not unctionally analogous them.

We would like to commend the sommission for applying uch a multilingual effort to interpretation of the Statute, in conformity with numbers of interpretation of treaties authenticated in several languages tained and reflected aimticle 33 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

## Mr./Mme chair

The Nordic countries would also likestoess the importance potential clarityin distinguishing between analysislataandtheoretical assessments practical effects of decisions and teachings as seen fisomoialogical or anthropological perspective. causes of lawi.e. the factors that may influence the growth of international law, must not be confused with the rmalsources of law.

The Nordic countriesagree that the practice of the ICJ has hat thong impact on the clarification and progressive development of international that we strongly support the role of the Jasan essential travitation point for the international legal system as such a promotion of systemic integration this system

But this is not to be confused with a claim that the taetice of the Court is itself formal source of rights and obligations for states not party to a disputer instance also recalled in article 59 of the statute here it is tipulated that a decision of the counts not inding force except between the parties and in respect of the particular that seegard the Nordic states agree with the statement of the Special Rapporteur in his concluding remarks