

PERMANENT MISSION TO THE UNITED NATIONS



750TH RDAMENUE, NEWYORK, NY 10017

TH.(212) 744 2506

Checkagainst delivery

STATEMENTBY

THEREURICOFFCIAND

79" UNITONATIONS CENTRAL ASSEMBLY

SXIHCOMMITIE

ACENDALIEM 7.9

"REPORTOFILE INTERNATIONALIAW COMMISSION ON THE WORK

OFTIS SEMENTY HETH IN 1981 ON "

OLISTER 3

NewYork 29"October 2024

On the criteria for distinguishing treaties from non-legally binding agreements, we agreed that credit the nost important would be States intentions as indicated in the text of the agreement. In particular, we consider the presence of final clauses - including the need for ratification, or dispute settlement mechanisms to be of significant value in distinguishing treaties from non-legally binding international instruments. The inclusion of a specific clause expressly excluding the binding character of such clauments should also be viewed as fundamentally important.

As to the potential legal effects of non-legally binding international instruments, we wish to recall that this issue was at least partially covered in the Commission's previous work. Thus, in conclusion 10 of the IICs. "Conclusions on subsequent agreements and subsequent practice in relation to the interpretation of treaties", it is explicitly stated that "Such an agreement may, but need not, be legally binding for it to be taken into account". At the same time, in conclusion 10 of the "Conclusions on identification of outcomery international law", the Commission stated that "Exidence of acceptance as law (opinio juris) may take a wich suggestions."

Succession of States in respect of State responsibility

M: Chainan,

Let me turn to the topic "Succession of States in respect of State responsibility". We welcome Commission's decision, which is fully in line with the Polish position presented last year; to prepare its final report through a Working Group driven process. In this context, we wish to thank the Working Group Chair; Mr. August Reinisch, for his working paper on the subject.