



UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL
TRIBUNAL D 'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES



Mansour
(Appellant)

v.

Commissioner-General

Date: 26 October 2018

Registrar: Weichen Lin

JUDGE SABINE KNIERIM , PRESIDING .

1. The United Nations Appeals Tribunal (Appeals Tribunal) has before it an appeal against Judge No UNRWA/DT/2018/021, and

Appeals Tribunal has before it an appeal against Judge No UNRWA/DT/2018/021, and

13. Mr Masri requested the decision in his appeal; he stated that he had been ordered to pay the amount of USD 3,000 for the costs of his appeal. He stated that he had not received the decision in his appeal.

The Commissioner-General's Answer

14. The appeal is defective in that it fails to comply with Article 2(1) of the Appeals Statute (Stat), he stated. The appeal is inadmissible because the Appeals Tribunal has already issued a decision in the matter.

15. The UNRWA DT Judge as a matter of law. The UNRWA DT Judge stated that the appeal is inadmissible because the UNRWA DT Judge has already issued a decision in the matter. The UNRWA DT Judge stated that the appeal is inadmissible because the UNRWA DT Judge has already issued a decision in the matter.

16. In light of the facts and circumstances, the appeal is inadmissible. Mr Masri's appeal is inadmissible because the UNRWA DT Judge has already issued a decision in the matter.

- (b) Failure to disclose it
- (c) Error of law
- (d) Omission of material facts that affect the decision; or
- (e) Error of fact that affects the decision

18. These are provided by Article 8(2) of the Appeals Tribunal Rules. The Tribunal has held that the appeal must be accepted by (a) A brief

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No 2018-UNAT-881

Judgment