

Introduction

1. By the application filed with the Dispute Tribunal on 13 June 2011, the Applicant, a staff member in the Publishing Section, Department for General Assembly and Conference ManagementDG (ACM"), contests the decision to suspend the payroll deduction is contributions to the United Nations Staff Union ("UNSU") from his salary and the suspension of the remittance of the deductions to the UNSU bank account. The Applicantequests that the Tribunal order the Secretary-General to:

a. Correct the management evaluatiand overturn the Office of Programme Planning, Budget andcoord ("OPPBA") administrative decision by directing its Payroll and Subjursement Section to immediately resume the deduction and remittance to the Staff Union of his contribution to the Staff Union that is deducter of the salary through payroll;

b. Direct Payroll and Disbursement Gien to immediately transfer his

to state that "[o]n behalf of the ExecuteivBoard of the UN Staff Union, I thank you for the cooperation of your office in stoppithge October 2010 trasfer of staff dues ... The Board also requests that the membjeerstues of staff members be redirected back to the UN Federal Credit Union ["UFICU"] account and that United Nations management seek a method to retrieveftumels that were trasferred to Citibank since 28 June 2010".

4. On 29 October 2010, the Director of the decounts Division in OPPBA stated that:

In the light of the contracting institutions now received by us from the UN Staff Union, we have detend to suspend, with immediate effect, the payments of dues colled from staff members through payroll, until the issue is rescald internally by the UN Staff Union.

We look forward to receiving a edtr instruction from the UN Staff Union about the bank account intendich funds should be deposited and, until then, will hold the funds collected in trust".

5. On 8 November 2010, the President WiNSU wrote to the Controller, Assistant Secretary-General, OPPBA, tistga that the President's memorandum of 28 June 2010 was legal and remained valide President also requested the lifting of the suspension which they determine on hot interference in the internal affairs of UNSU by OPPBA. He further stated that

the ultimate authority to implement all policies and decisions of the [UNSU], including financia/governance and correspondence and certifying authority, is vested in the President of [UNSU]; in the latter's absence, it is vested in the First Vice-President. The secretary or any other membéthe [UNSU] does not have such authority. You may wish to refeto Statute 10 and Regulation 12 of the Statute and Regulatis of the [UNSU].

Any contrary misrepresentations **by** member of the Staff Council and/or Staff Union should be broughtthe attention of the President.

6. On 18 November 2010, the Secretary of the UNSU Executive Board sent a memorandum to the Director of the AccosuDivision in OPPBA stating that their

position was clear and that the Board, the regroequested that the funds continue to be deposited in their UNFCU account and tthe Organization etrieve the funds deposited in the Citibank account.

7. On 26 January 2011, the Controller, **Assaint** Secretary-General informed the President, UNSU, that the Organizathad decided "to suspend, from the month of January 2011, the deduction of staff union dues from the salaries of staff members, to avoid any legal liability for the UN arising from such continued collection under the current circumstances".

8. On 28 January 2011, the Applicant received an email from the Director of the Accounts Division in OPPBA statingathOPPBA "[had] decided to suspend the remittance of the staff union dues to the Staff Union's bank account, due to conflicting instructions ecceived from the President of the Staff Union and the Secretary, on behalf of the ExecutBreard, about the bank account into which the collections should be deposited ... [atod] emporarily suspend the deductions, from the month of January 2011". The emfailther stated that the dues already collected for the period October–December 2010 were being held in trust until OPPBA was given a clear instruction about the deposit the dues into and that they would be returned to the ividual members if no such instruction was given before May 2011.

9. On 9 February 2011, the Applicant filed a request for management evaluation of OPPBA's decisions and, one following day, the Applicant filed an application for suspension of time, pending management evaluation.

10. On 24 February 2011, by Order N557 (NY/2011), the Dispute Tribunal (Judge Ebrahim-Carstens) suspended **dhe**ision to suspend the remittance and the deductions of the Applicant's c**ribu**tions. The full reasoning behind its decision was contained in Ordero. 83 (NY/2011) dated 10 March 2011.

11. On 15 March 2011, the Management Evaluation Unit ("MEU") stated that the Applicant's request was

a matter involving a cohict within a union [and] is the sole responsibility of the praies involved in the conflict themselves, and that the UNDT has no jurisdiction **ev** matters involving the internal affairs of a staff associatio It further avoids placing the Administration in the untenableosition of being considered as interfering in the internal affairs of the UNSU, when it was merely acting to cover itself legally in the face of conflicting claims to the remittances ... [and that] to continue remitting contributions in these circumstances would be arbitrary.

Following the MEU's considerations, diffecretary-General decided to uphold the contested decision.

12. From 7 to 9 June 2011, UNSU held **elem**s which included members that were to serve on the UNSU Arbitration **fco**nittee with the results of the voting being made available shortly after closing othe elections.

13. On 13 June 2011, the Applicaided the present application.

14. The Respondent's reply, which waked on 25 July 2011, addressed issues related to both the receivability of the merits of the application.

15. On 1 October 2013, the Tribunal, Kolyrder No. 236 (NY/2013), requested that the Applicant file a response tube issues of receivability raised in the Respondent's reply. The Applicainled his submission on 14 October 2013 and the Respondent filed his comments on 29 October 2013.

Applicant's submissions

16. The Applicant's principal contentions incorporate the arguments and annexes of his 9 February 2011 request for mamaget evaluation and his 10 February 2010 application for suspension of action, namely:

a. The decision to suspend the detidunc of his dues from his salary violated (i) the principle of freedomof association and his right to

b. The decisions were a natural outcome of the contradictory payment instructions received from UNSU. The UNSU could have resumed the collection and remittaen of dues by providing clear and undisputed instructions the Administration;

c. Article 17.2 of the UNSU Statuterovides that unrestved disputes concerning the interpretation of the **Stet**, Regulations and policies shall be referred to the UNSU Arbitration Committee. The Applicant has not availed himself of the arbitration proceedings front of the Arbitration Committee which became fully functional after the elections that were held between 7 and 9 June 2009;

d. The application is without meritas none of the Applicant's rights were affected by OPPBA's decision.s-tistatus as a dues-paying member of UNSU did not change, nor did it negative affect his eligibility to run for an UNSU office. Ultimately, the Applicant participated fully in the UNSU elections and he was nominated to the office of the President and unsuccessfully ran on a leadepsticket in June 2011;

e. The Administration adhered to the perinciple of non-interference in the internal affairs of a union. Furthethe fact that the MEU upheld the decision did not result in manifest abuse of process;

f. The Applicant has no standing tois me claims on behalf of the UNSU and his rights to privacy and confidentitive have not been violated because the email dated 28 January 2011 what is no copied. The Administration adhered to the principle of non-interfecter in the internal affairs of a union;

g. The fact that the MEU up hole the decision did notesult in a manifest abuse of process and the baccaption should be dismissed.

Consideration

Applicable law

18. Staff Rules and Staff Regulationstbr United Nations (ST/SGB/2011/1), in force in January 2011, state:

Rule 3.17

Deductions and contributions

(a) Staff assessment shall beducted, each pay period, from the total payments due to each staff member, at the rates and subject to the conditions prescribied staff regulation 3.3 and staff rule 3.2.

(b) Contributions of staff mebers who are participating in the United Nations Joint Staffension Fund shall be deducted, each pay period, from the total payments due to them.

(c) Deductions from salaries and other emoluments may also be made for:

(i) Contributions, other than to the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund, for which provision is made under the present Rules;

(ii) Indebtedness to the United Nations;

(iii) Indebtedness to third parties when any deduction for this purpose is uthorized by the Secretary-General;

(iv) Lodging provided by the United Nations, by a Government or by ælated institution;

(v) Contributions to a staff representative body established pursuant to staffgretation 8.1, provided that each staff member has the opporttynito withhold his or her consent to or at any time tobiscontinue such deduction, by notice to the Secretary-General.

•••

Staff Relations

Regulation 8.1

(a) The Secretary-General ash establish and maintain continuous contact and communicanti with the staff in order to ensure the effective patripation of the staff in identifying, examining and resolving issues relating to affst welfare, including conditions of work, general conditions of life and other human resources policies;

(b) Staff representative boosts is shall be established and shall be entitled to initiate **op**osals to the Secretary-General for the purpose set forth in paragraph (above. They shall be organized in such a way as to afford etpuble representation to all staff members, by means of electionsatthshall take place at least biennially under electral regulations drawn up by the respective staff representative body and agreted by the Secretary-General.

19. UNSU Statute and Regulation **atst** (emphasis in original):

Part I – Statute

...

7. Council

7.1 The Council shall be the legislative body of the Union and shall determine its operational policy, except where such policy is determined by General Meeting or referendum.

•••

7.3 The membership of the Coulncits standing orders and procedures shall be set forthm the Regulations made under this Statute.

•••

11. Standing Committees

There shall be the following **std**ing committees of the Union:

- (a) Arbitration Committee;
- (b) Audit Committee.
- 12. Finance

12.1 The President is accountable to the membership for the finances of the Union.

12.2 The day-to-day administration of the Union finances shall be delegated by the President to Taeasurer with responsibility for finance.

12.4 The Treasurer shall draw up the Union budget and monitor expenditure and income on behalftbe Council, and exercise other duties as set forth in the Finakalc Regulations made under this Statute.

14. Operational Policy

...

. . .

14.2 Operational policy shall be determined by the Council, subject to the provisions of Article 7, paragraph 1, above.

•••

15. Compliance

The Arbitration Committee shallocisider and rule on compliance matters as specified in the Regidest made under this Statute.

16. Relationship with Administration

The relationship between the Union and Administration shall be as determined by the General Assembly.

17. Interpretation

17.1 Words used in this Statute and in any Regulation made thereunder have the same meaning as in the UN Charter.

17.2 In the event of an unresolved dispute arising over the interpretation of the Statute, its Regulations or any policy the matter shall be referred to the Arbitration Committee.

17.3 In circumstances where **anterpretation** is sought from the Arbitration Committee, it shall be reported to the Council and duly recorded.

. . .

Part II – Regulations

...

8. Arbitration Committee

8.1 In order to increase accountability elected Union officials, the Arbitration Committee is establied to review alleged violations of the Statute of the Staff Umi and decide on sanctions where

warranted. Rulings of the Arbattion Committee shall be binding on all bodies of the Staff Union.

•••

8.2 <u>Terms of Referen</u>ce

• • •

8.2.3 The Arbitration Committee shareceive, consider and rule upon matters related to violations the Statute and Regulations.

8.2.4 Elected Union officials may only be sanctioned by the Arbitration Committee.

•••

9. Audit Committee

• • •

9.6 <u>Responsibilities</u>

9.6.1 The main responsibilities of the Audit Committee are:

(a) To monitor the financial statements of the Union and any formal announcements relating to the Union's finances;

•••

(a) Act as financial officer of the Union, receive the income of the Union and collect all monies due the Union and deposit all funds of the Union ints name and in conjunction with the President, propose an investmemoticy for such funds, subject to approval by the Council;

•••

Financial Rules

•••

Rule 4

...

3. The Council shall determine policy governing any investment of Union funds.

Contested decisions

20. The Applicant is contesting the two disions that led to the 28 January 2011 email announcement by the Director, AcctsubDivision, OPPBA, that they had "decided to temporarily suspend thed detions, from the month of January 2011, since we cannot continue toollect staff union dues without being able to forward these amounts to the staff union":

a. The 29 October 2010 decision by et Director, Accounts Division, OPPBA, informing UNSU Secretary that conformity with our procedures for dealing with payment instructions for third parties [...and in] light of the contradicting instructions now creived by us from the UN Staff Union, we have decided to suspend, with inchinate effect, the payments of dues collected from staff members throughy prail, until the issue is resolved internally by the UN Staff Union".

b. The 26 January 2011 decision by the Controller, Assistant Secretary-General, that was communicated to **Pre**sident, UNSU, informing him that the Organization had decided "to sespl, from the month of January 2011, the deduction of staff union dues from **trad**aries of staff members, to avoid any legal liability for the UN arisingrom such continued collection under the current circumstances".

Receivability

21. In accordance with *O'Neill* UNDT/2010/203, the Tribunal must verify *ex officio* the receivability of the application **fore** analyzing the merits of the case.

22. The appeal against the contested **denis** iwas filed within the applicable time limits and is receivable *atione temporis*. Consequently, the Tribunal will consider the receivabilitys is us raised by the Respondent.

23. In his application, the poplicant indicated that be appeal incorporated the arguments from his application for suspension of action pending management evaluation, which was filed on 10 Februa 2011, and which was determined by Order No. 57 (NY/2011). The full reasoning behind the decision of Order No. 57 was contained in Order No. 83 (NY/2011).

24. In the "General comments and conclusion" section of Order No. 83, the Tribunal referred to the several coemts that the Applicant had made with regard to the rights of UNSUThe Order further stated that:

35. Article 2.3(a) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political rights provides that any person whose rights and freedoms are violated shall have an effigure remedy. Further, art. 2.3(b) provides that any person claiming surchemedy shall have his rights determined by competent judicialadministrative or legislative authorities. However, as indicated *iKisambira* [Order No. 36 (NY/2011)], this Tribunal has no *rjus* diction over matters involving the internal affairs of a staff association.

• • •

37. ... The Tribunal was advised 0 TD I25 TD .011).5 TD 11).5 TD. 57 (NY/20

38. ... Whilst the Respondent has not specifically argued frustration of any contract, the cention is that the Administration is loath to pay the dues directly to either the UNFCU or Citibank bank accounts of UNSU as a result of the transmission to the signed account. The Applicant contended that the Respondenad at all times in the past dealt with the President alone ... [and hethiss one] who designates the account

28. More importantly, arts. 15 and 17 bliNSU Statute

the remittance and stating that in taking **thes** ision he "may wish to refer to Statute 10 [sic] and Regulation 12 of the Statute Regulations of the [UNSU]. Any 36. At the time of the application for **sp**ension of action, in February 2011, the Arbitration Committee had yet to be put in place. However, as of the time of the present application, which was filed 13 June 2011, the Arbitration Committee had been elected and become functional as of 9 June 2011.

37. The Tribunal underlines that its complexity, in accordance with art. 2.2 of the Tribunal's Statute, is strictly lined to a legal review of the content of the administrative decision that was previously before the MEU and cannot be extended to the findings included in the MEU's rev in tcr9yae(3Tc .2 of Anapplel r)3.7(i)-

Treasurer to perform function related to the management its finances, this is not a matter over which either the Organization or this Tribunal have the right or the jurisdiction to substitute the Arbitration Committee.

41. The application is not receivable *tionae materiae*. The Tribunal therefore does not need to consider the merits of the case.

Conclusion

42. The application is not recogible and is dismissed.

(Signed)

Judge Alessandra Greceanu

Dated this 2⁴ day of January 2014

Entered in the Register on thisth2day of January 2014

(Signed)

Hafida Lahiouel, Registrar, New York