

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTETRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2013/011

Judgment No.: UNDT/2014/018
Date: 7 February 2014

Original: English

Before: Judge Vinod Boolell

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Abena Kwakye-Berko, Acting Registrar

AMANY

٧.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

JUDGMENT ON RECEIVABILITY

Counsel for the Applicant: Bernard G. Takoré

Counsel for Respondent: Stephan Grieb, UNICEF Tamara Shockley, UNICEF

Introduction

- 1. The Applicant joined the United Natis Children's Fund (UNICEF) in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, on 1 August 1986, as a Supply Officer, grade 2.
- 2. On 25 March 2013, he filed the current Application before the United Nations Dispute Tribunal (UNDT) challenging the decision, taken on 27 December 2012 by the UNICEF Designated Representative intercollivoire (UNICEF Representative), not to renew his fixeterm contract.

Facts

- 3. On 21 December 2008, the Applicant niveon official mission to Guinea Conakry until 15 May 2010 and then he wasofficial mission to Benin from 1 June to 30 August 2010.
- 4. Upon his return to Abidjan from Benni a new organizational chart was in place. An international staff member swaccupying the post of Supply Officer and the Applicant was his subordinate.
- 5. Although the Applicant was shocked, he continued in service without complaint. As he did not have any challengiand/or supervisory tasks he fell into a depressive state and started seeing thNICEF Stress Management Consultant (Consultant) on 20 October 2010.
- 6. On 14 December 2011, the Applicant signed letter of appointment for a fixed-term appointment that ould expire on 31 December 2012.
- 7. Through several correspondences been February 2012 and November 2012, the Administration warned the Applicational hat he had taken approximately 205 unjustified days of absence from February 2011 to November 2012. He was informed that unless he provided justification, the would be viewed as abandonment of post. The Applicant did not provides informed that unless he provided in the provides informed that unless he provided in the provided in the work of the days of absence.

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2013/011

Judgment No.: UNDT/2014/018

8. On 13 December 2012, the Consultant durced a medical report stating that the Applicant's psychologial state did not justiff is 205 days of absence.

- 9. On 28 December 2012, the Applicant ackheologed receipt of a letter dated 27 December 2012 from the UNICEF Representative informing him of the non-renewal of his contract.
- 10. On 31 January 2013, the Applicant selective to the UNICE Representative to raise objections against his decisionating that the Andinistration had not respected Administrative Instruction F/AI/2010-001 (Separation from service) which requires a 30-day notice periodiopr to termination of a fixed-term appointment.
- 11. On 25 March 2013, he filed an Applicant before the UNDT challenging the decision not to renew his ed-term contract.
- 12. On 28 March 2013, he sent a letterted 25 March 2013 to the Executive Director requesting management evaluation the fdecision not to renew his contract.
- 13. On 1 April 2013, the Chief of the Polyicand Administrative Law Section (PALS) within the Division of Human Resources (DHR) replied to the Applicant that his request for management evaluation wasreceivable on the ground that it was time-barred.
- 14. On 29 April 2013, the Respondent repliterate the Application before the UNDT was not receivable as the Applical failed to request management evaluation of the contested decision within the deadline.

Considerations

15. The only issue that the Tribunal has choonsider in the persent judgment is whether the Application is receivable.

16. Pursuant to article 8.1(a)f the Tribunal's Statutean Application shall be receivable if an Applicant has previous submitted the contested administrative decision for management evaluation where required.

- 17. This provision should be read togetheithwarticle 11.2(a) and (c) of the staff rules that state:
 - (a) A staff member wishing to formally contest an administrative decision alleging non-compliance with his or her contract of employment or terms of approximent, including all pertinent regulations and rules pursuant to fistegulation 11.1 (a), shall, as a first step, submit to the Secretary-General in writing a request for a management evaluation of to a decision.
 - (c) A request for a managemete evaluation shall not be receivable by the Secretary-Genlevanless it is sent within 60 calendar days from the date which the staff member received notification of the administrativelecision to be contested. This deadline may be extended by the Secretary-General pending efforts for informal resolution conducted the Office of the Ombudsman, under conditions specified by the Secretary-General.
- 18. In cases such asSyed 2010-UNAT-061, Kovacevic 2010-UNAT-071, Trajanovska2010-UNAT-074 and Jennings2011-UNAT-184 the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (UNAT) dismissed appealsed the Applicants failure to request management evaluation of the citisions they were contesting.
- 19. More recently UNAT held in Servas 2013-UNAT-349 that:

A staff member must be familia with the Staff Rules and understand her obligation act in conformage with those rules. This means that a request for management evaluation must be submitted prior to bringing an application before the Dispute Tribunal.

20. Further, where the Applicant has failed to request management evaluation, the UNDT has no jurisdiction to cointer the Application (seeMasylkanova UNDT/2013/033;Giuliano Order No. 204 (NBI/2013).

21. The Tribunal notes that at the time that applicant submitted his Application on 25 March 2013, he had not requested reparatent evaluation of the contested decision. In fact, he did note so until 28 March 2013, which was 3 days after he had submitted his UNDT application. Since the phip and had not submitted the contested administrative decision for management aluation prior to the filing of his application in accordance with article 8.1 (at) the Tribunal's Staute, the Application is not receivable.

22. The Tribunal wishes to emphasis that the purpose of the request for management evaluation is to give the Adistiration an opportunit to set right what would appear to be a wrong decision and

Entered in the Register on this day of February 2014 (Signed)

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Acting Registrar, Nairobi