



## Introduction

1. The Applicant filed on 1 February 2014\* the Applicant's contestation of the decision to select the Applicant for the posts of Senior Consultant in the Department of

sent an email to the 6.C. - DC 5 \* indicating\* inter alia\* that a more detailed  
analysis of the consolidated dates, as needed.

The D71 host of C+ef\* - DC 5 = 2a and s/n&e 1 August 2013 =, as  
transferred on loan to the Department for General Assemblies and Conference  
Management /3DGAC 5 41\* upon receipt of the Under-Executive Director's  
DGAC 5 \* effective 2 - term (e) 2013 to 30 June 2014. - (eg. nning of  
2013\* the D71 host of C+ef\* - DC 5 \* was (re-allocated) several times a first time  
under J6 26430\*, with a signing date of 12 May 2013\*, with-out generalizing a  
successful and date? success? under J6 28846\*, +&+ , as an assessed upon  
the term) a) loan of the host to DGAC 5? final? under J6 32:08\*, with a  
signing date of 23 May 2014.

8. ! " a Note de service dated 3 October 2013 referring to the loan of the  
host of C+ef\* - to DGAC 5 until 30 June 2014\* the Director\* DC 5 \* announced  
that the positions (of the) position -) from 4 October 2013 to 30 June 2014  
, would (be assigned to the C+efs of -) of) #)ods of  
a) o) mate\$ " t, o month ea&+. The) e) e) fo) the first #)od =) from  
4 October 2013 to 30 June 2014 : De) (e) 2013 = the) es#ons( " " , as assigned to  
one of the C+efs of -) DC 5 . 6 n 3 De) (e) 2013\* the Director\* DC 5 \*  
decided that said C+ef of -) , would continue to serve as 36.C of the A.-B  
until the resignation of the new, C+ef of -) 4.

C. ! " memorandum of 2C No 2 (e) 2013 addressed to the Executive Assemblies  
of UN6G - staff (ete)s\* DC 5 \* the Under-Executive Director's DGAC 5 \*  
& on 2e" ed the reasons for the decision to term) a) " loan the D71 host of C+ef\*  
- DC 5 \* to DGAC 5 . (e) (e) noted that in 2013 , of the (ons) es#)essed ("  
staff to ensure) " " t +ad (een agreed to a) o) nt one 6.C. - UN6G\* fo)  
the , +) e) du) ation of the loan and stated that a C+efs of -) DC 5 \*  
, e) e) o) etent to a) t as 6.Cs du) ng that #)od.

10. 6 n C De) (e) 2013\* the C+ef of -) e) #) & " a) ng as 36 ff) 7) n7  
C+a) ge (ete)s\* DC 5 4\* sent a memorandum to < 9 5 - UN6G\*

separated and date and a female and date of the transaction to the , o posts opened under said J6.

11. On 10 December 2013 the Director of Administration UN6G transmitted the recommendation for the , o posts opened under J6 1378AN7UN6G72 6 7 97G0N0VA /81\* including the list of the proposed and dates to the Acting Director-General for the final selection decision. The aforementioned memorandum of 10 December 2013 , as an integral part of the submission to the Acting Director-General.

12. On 12 December 2013 the Acting Director-General separated the , o recommended and dates for the , o posts. The , e notified of the selection on 13 December 2013. The Applicant (name a, a) of the decision , then subsequently into the .N-P.9A amount on 13 December 2013.

13. On 20 December 2013 the Applicant submitted a request for management evaluation of the decision to select the , o posts opened under the aforementioned J6.

14. Also on 20 December 2013 the Applicant filed a request for suspension of action of the selection decision in favour of the separated and date. The Tribunal (under No. 200 /GVA/2013) of 31 December 2013 ordered that the decision in question (suspended pending the outcome of the Applicant's request for management evaluation. ) memorandum of 4 'e(ua)" 2014\* the Under -e(eta)"7Gene)al for 5 management informed the Applicant that the -e(eta)"7







the , the s@ ot) e) osted and e&ommeded &and%dates = %n&\$udng t+) ee fema\$e &and%dates = t+e ;uest%on , +et+e) t+e A##\$%&ant , ou\$d +a2e (een se\$e&ted %instead of t+e se\$e&ted &and%date %s s#e&u\$at%2e?

E. The 9es#ondent met t+e standa)d set (" t+e A##ea\$s T)(una\$ %n Eudgment Rolland /20117UNAT71221 to maFe a m%n%ma\$ s+o , %ng t+at t+e A##\$%&antDs &and%datu)e , as g%2en fu\$\$ and fa%) &ons%de)at%on? t+e #)esum#t%on of )egu\$a)%t" s+ou\$d stand and t+e a##\$%&at%on (e) eEe&ted %n %ts ent%)et".

20. The su&&essfu\$ &and%date\* , +o , as Eo%ned to t+e a##\$%&at%on unde) a)t. 11 of t+e T)(una\$ % 9u\$es of P)o&edu)e\* a\$\$eges t+at +%s ;ua%f%&at%ons and e@#e)%en&e a)e su#e)o) to t+ose of t+e ot+e) osted &and%dates and t+at +e fu\$f%\$s a\$\$ t+e )e;u%)ements of t+e #ost.

### Consideration

21. The T)(una\$ )e&a\$\$s t+e Eu)%s#)uden&e of t+e A##ea\$s T)(una\$ %n a##o%ntment and #)omot%on matte)s\* , +e) (" a se\$e&t%on de&%s%on s+ou\$d (e u#+e\$d , +en &and%dates +a2e )e&e%2ed fu\$\$ and fa%) &ons%de)at%on\* , +en d%is&)%m%nat%on and (%as a)e a(sent\* , +en #)o#e) #)o&edu)es +a2e (een fo\$\$o , ed and , +en a\$\$ )e\$e2ant mate)%a\$ +as (een taFen %nto a&&ount /Rolland 20117UNAT7122? Charles 20137UNAT72861. .n add%t%on\* t+e A##ea\$s T)(una\$ +as &\$a)%f%ied t+at t+e 3d)e&t effe&t of an %))egu\$a)%t" , %\$\$ on\$ " )esu\$t %n t+e )es&%ss%on of t+e de&%s%on not to #)omote a staff mem(e) , +en +e o) s+e , ou\$d +a2e +ad a s%gn%f%&ant &+an&e fo) #)omot%on. G+e)e t+e %))egu\$a)%t" +as no %m#a&t on t+e status of a staff mem(e)\* (e&ause +e o) s+e +ad no fo)eseea(\$e &+an&e fo) #)omot%on\* +e o) s+e %s not ent%t%ed to )es&%ss%on o) &om#ensat%on4 2.213(#)-0.48820.839844(15 (t)-2.16797(%)-2.16797(or



OIC, IS and Hiring Manager

23. The respondent to the question, who acted as the respondent argues that a Chief of Section and Segments (appointed as C. C. in accordance with staff rule 3.10/a) and from the date of his appointment to act as the respondent in the selection process for the contested post, the respondent notes that in an interim final selection decision, as taken ("the Acting Director-General of UN6G", who under the terms of T/A./2010/3/Amend.1 and the annex of the relevant regulation) and dates = including the Applicant =, who, she is not recommended for selection ("the respondent").

24. The Tribunal, in response to the question that it derives from paragraph 2a) of the provisions of T/A./2010/3/Amend. 1 that the respondent is an important person at the

6.C\* .- = d% d %n fa&t +a2



5 anage) fo) t+e &ontested #ost. 6n t+at g)ound a\$one\* t+e se\$e&t%on de&s%ion %s %\$\$ega\$.

Gender equality under ST/ I/! """/"

33. T+e T%(una\$ no , tu)ns to t+e ;uest%on of , +et+e) t+e se\$e&t%on de&s%ion , as taFen %n 2%o\$at%on of t+e te)ms of -T/A./1CCC/C /-#e&%a\$ measu)es fo) t+e a&+e2ement of gende) e;ua\$t"1. T+e 9es#ondent &on&eded at t+e +ea)%ng t+at t+s adm%n%st)at%2e %nst)u&t%on a##\$%ed to t+e &ase at +and. 5 o)e %m#o)tant\$"\* t+e T%(una\$ notes t+at t+e memo)andum of 10 De&em(e) 2013 of t+e D%)e&to)\* D%2%is%on of Adm%n%st)at%on\* to t+e A&t%ng D%)e&to)7Gene)a\$\* states t+at 3AaBs of 18 6&to(e) 2013\* t+e )e#)esentat%on of , omen %n a\$\$ &atego)%es at UN6G %s 4 K\* and t+e )e#)esentat%on of , omen %n sen%o) P)ofess%ona\$ #os)t%ons %s 41.:K4. .t fo\$\$o , s t+at t+e :0K )e#)esentat%on of , omen %n t+e P)ofess%ona\$ &atego)"\* , +%&+ %s t+e goa\$ set do , n (" -T/A./1CCC/C\* +as not (een attained\* ne)t+e) , %t+%n t+e .- \* no) , %t+%n UN6G.

34. T+e)efo)e\* t+e T%(una\$ +as to e@am%ne , +et+e) t+e )e\$e2ant se&t%ons of t+at adm%n%st)at%2e %nst)u&t%on +a2e (een &o))e&t\$" a##\$%ed to t+e &ase at +and. -e&t%on 1.8/al of adm%n%st)at%2e %nst)u&t%on -T/A./1CCC/C #)o2%des t+at

1.8 /al Va&an&%es %n t+e P)ofess%ona\$ &atego)" and a(o2e s+a\$\$ (e f%\$\$ed\* , +en t+e)e a)e one o) mo)10(ne)3.84766( )-an&

36. The Tribunal notes that the representations of the Applicant, as a  
witness, are not supported by the evidence; the Tribunal is not satisfied that the  
evidence is credible and reliable.

30. The respondent's submission of supporting documentation<sup>4</sup> is essential to the Panel's findings and is recommended for review, especially in addition to some of the documents submitted as the J6. In fact, for example, the Panel of the Appeals, as not submitted to the Appeals Division (Geneva).

40. In these circumstances and in the absence of a written analysis, the Appellate is required to review the supporting documentation as required under section 1.8/d1 of -T/A./1CCC/C the Tribunal (cannot undertake that the Administrative Tribunal failed to review said provisions. It further notes that the Tribunal's decision on the appeal was based on the review of the Appeals Division (Geneva), and as a result, as based on the recommendation of a person, who, as not duly authorized to act as a manager and second, as a result of the information and, without sufficient documentation.

41. In view of these factors, the Tribunal's decision is not necessary for the Tribunal to assess, together, the additional intentions regarding section 1.8/a1 of -T/A./1CCC/C. It is sufficient to affirm, since that the Appeals (being a contested and dated) add a significant and an element to the host. Therefore, the contested decision was to be reviewed as required ("the Appeals").

#### Consequences of the rescission

42. In the event of a contested decision, the Tribunal's decision is essential to the Tribunal's decision on the appeal. The Tribunal's decision on the appeal is essential to the Tribunal's decision on the appeal. The Tribunal's decision on the appeal is essential to the Tribunal's decision on the appeal. The Tribunal's decision on the appeal is essential to the Tribunal's decision on the appeal.

43. As the Tribunal's decision of the Appeals Tribunal (cannot determine the amount of compensation under article 10.1 of the Tribunal's decision on the appeal. The Tribunal's decision on the appeal is essential to the Tribunal's decision on the appeal. The Tribunal's decision on the appeal is essential to the Tribunal's decision on the appeal. The Tribunal's decision on the appeal is essential to the Tribunal's decision on the appeal.



Eustif"ing an a,a)d of mo)a\$ damages\* %n t+e amount of U-D4\*000  
/&. Mal&stro& et al. 20137UNAT73: ? de&agic et al' 20137UNAT73:Cl.

## Conclusion

46. .n 2%e , of t+e fo)ego%ng\* t+e T)(una\$ D0C.D0 ->

a. T+e de&%S%on to se\$e&t t+e se\$e&t&ed &and%date fo) one of t+e #osts  
ad2e)t%sed unde) J6 1378AN7UN6G72 6 797G0N0VA /81 /P7: \$e2e\$1 %s  
)es&%nded?

(. .n &ase t+e 9es#ondent e\$e&ts to #a" &om#ensat%on %nstead of t+e  
)es&%ss%on\* t+e amount of &om#ensat%on to (e #a%d to t+e A##\$%&ant %s set at  
U-D2\*000?

&. .n add%t%on\* t+e Adm%n%st)at%on s+a\$\$ #a" t+e A##\$%&ant t+e e;u%2a\$ent  
of U-D4\*000 fo) mo)a\$ damages?

d. T+e afo)ement%oned &om#ensat%on s+a\$\$ (ea) %nte)est at t+e United  
-tates #)%me )ate , %t+ effe&t f)om t+e date t+%s Judgment (e&omes  
e@e&uta(\$e unt%\$ #a"ment of sa%id &om#ensat%on. An add%t%ona\$ f%2e #e) &ent  
s+a\$\$ (e a##\$%ed to t+e Un%ted -tates #)%me )ate 60 da"s f)om t+e date t+%s  
Judgment (e&omes e@e&uta(\$e?

e. A\$\$ ot+e) &\$a%ms a)e )eE&t&ed.

/Signed1

Judge T+omas 8aFe)

Dated t+%s 1C<sup>t+</sup> da" of June 2014



Case No. UNDT/GVA/2014/003

Judgment No. UNDT/2014/068