

Cases No.:

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Cases No. UNDT/GVA/2014/01/R1
UNDT/GVA/2015/11/R1

Reference No. UNDT/2015/104

Introduction

1.

1. On 10 September 2014, the Commission of Enquiry (CoE) (also referred to as the Commission of Enquiry) issued a report on the activities of the Commission of Enquiry (CoE) in the period from 10 September 2014 to 10 September 2014. The Commission of Enquiry (CoE) is a body established by the United Nations Security Council in 2011 to investigate the activities of the Commission of Enquiry (CoE) in the period from 10 September 2014 to 10 September 2014. The Commission of Enquiry (CoE) is a body established by the United Nations Security Council in 2011 to investigate the activities of the Commission of Enquiry (CoE) in the period from 10 September 2014 to 10 September 2014.

11. On 24 March 2011, the Panel (UNDT/2011/028) in the case of *Mullick, Gurudutta, Jaishankar, Varghese, Berry* (UNDT/2011/028) and *Bharati* (UNDT/2011/04) found that the respondents had acted in violation of article 2.1 of the ILO Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) and article 2.1 of the ILO Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) and article 2.1 of the ILO Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW).

12. In the case of *Jaishankar* (UNDT/2011/028) and *Bharati* (UNDT/2011/04), the Panel found that the respondents had acted in violation of article 2.1 of the ILO Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW).

Cases No. UNDT/GVA/2014/01/R1
UNDT/GVA/2011/1#1/R1

Case No. UNDT/2011/104

e. The object of the present article is to ensure that the
of article 99 of the A99 is a (slightly) -s (of 5:0'9.-a

Cases No. UNDT/GVA/2014/01/R1
UNDT/GVA/2014/11/R1

Reference No. UNDT/2014/104

E.

Cases No. UNDT/GVA/2014/01/R1
UNDT/GVA/2014/11/R1

Page No. UNDT/2014/104

..

On 10 October 2011, the Panel heard the testimony of the witness, Mr. Pedicelli, who stated that he had seen the respondent on 10 October 2011 at the residence of the respondent in Geneva.

Consideration

21. The Panel notes that the respondent's testimony is inconsistent with the testimony of the witness, Mr. Pedicelli, who stated that he had seen the respondent on 10 October 2011 at the residence of the respondent in Geneva. The Panel also notes that the respondent's testimony is inconsistent with the testimony of the witness, Mr. Pedicelli, who stated that he had seen the respondent on 10 October 2011 at the residence of the respondent in Geneva.

22. The Panel notes that the respondent's testimony is inconsistent with the testimony of the witness, Mr. Pedicelli, who stated that he had seen the respondent on 10 October 2011 at the residence of the respondent in Geneva. The Panel also notes that the respondent's testimony is inconsistent with the testimony of the witness, Mr. Pedicelli, who stated that he had seen the respondent on 10 October 2011 at the residence of the respondent in Geneva.

Conclusion

23. In light of the above, the Panel finds that the respondent is guilty of the crime of torture.

1. The Panel is satisfied that the respondent's failure to provide the requested information in a timely manner constitutes a breach of the respondent's obligations under the applicable law. The respondent's failure to provide the requested information in a timely manner is a breach of the respondent's obligations under the applicable law. The respondent's failure to provide the requested information in a timely manner is a breach of the respondent's obligations under the applicable law.

Cases No. UNDT/GVA/2014/01/R1
UNDT/GVA/2011/1#1/R1

Subject No. UNDT/2011/104

* EU - (o(e o,)>o :ases &oes (o) :o(s)-%)e a :o(s-s)e() a(& :o/e,e()

4F. T/e T,-7%(a. %(&e,s)a(&s)/a)-)-s)/e Se:,e)a,+5Ge(e,a.Cs eK:.%s-0e
9,e,oga)-0e)o .eg-s.a)e a(&o &ef-(e >/a) @)e: /(-:a. 7o&-esA a,e8 as /e ,e:e().+ &-&8
)/,o%g/)/e U(&e,5Se:,e)a,+ Ge(e,a. fo, * a(age ' e())8 -(ST/AI/2018/F.

48. T/e A99ea.s T,-7%(a. /a&)/e sa 'e 0-e> -(-)s \$%&g ' e() Gehr
UNAT5201454F 8 >/e,e -) /e.&)/a):

2". T/e,e >as (o e0-&e(:e 7efo,e)/e D-s9%)e T,-7%(a. ?(o,
7efo,e)/-s T,-7%(a.B)/a)/e Se:,e)a,+5Ge(e,a. /a& ' a&e a
&e)e, ' -(a)-o(9%,s%a())o S)aff R%.e 11.2?7B &es-g(a)-(g ,e7%)a.
9a(e.s as @)e: /(-:a. 7o&-esA.

2!. I()/e a7se(:e of s%:/ &es-g(a)-o(a(& /a0-(g ,ega,&)o)/e
s9e:-f-: 9,o0-s-o(s of S)aff R%.e 11.2?7B a(&)/e o0e,a,: /-(g - ' 9o,))
of S)aff R%.e 11.2?7B ?es9e:-a..+ >/e(,ea&)oge)/e, >-)/ A,)-:..e
8?1B?:B of)/e D-s9%)e T,-7%(a. S)a)%eB8)/e A99ea.s T,-7%(a. f-(&s

#2. As for, a.. eK:e9)-o(s) /ese s-)%)a)-o(s ' %s) 7e -(e,9,e)e&
,es),-:-)0e.+ T/e 9,o0-s-o(s ' a+ (o) 7e -(e,9,e)e& 7,oa&.+ s%: / as
)o :o(:.%&e8 fo, eKa ' 9.e8)/a) a(+)e: /(-:a. 7o&+ :o%.& 7e e=%a)e&
)o a @e: /(-:a. 7o&H+I8 as &e)e, ' -(e& 7+)/e Se: ,e)a,+5Ge(e,a.A

Cases No. UNDT/GVA/2014/01/R1
UNDT/GVA/2011/11/R1

Section No. UNDT/2011/104

1. Base of the Tribunal's findings on the matter