Distr.: General 5 April 2017

Original: English

Committee of Experts on International Cooperation in Tax Matters
Fourteenth Session
New York, 3-6 April 2017
Agenda item 3 (b) (iv)
Mutual agreement procedure
— dispute avoidance and resolution

## Non-Binding Dispute Resolution Potential Changes to Article 25 of the UN Model

## Potential Changes to the Commentary on Article 25 of the UN Model

- 41. It is recognized that, for some countries, the process of agreement might well be facilitated if competent authorities, when faced with an extremely difficult case or an impasse, could call, either informally or formally, upon outside experts to give an advisory opinion or otherwise assist in the resolution of the matter. Such experts could be persons currently or previously associated with other tax administrations and possessing the requisite experience in this field. In essence, it would largely be the personal experience of these experts that would be significant. This resort to outside assistance could be useful even where the competent authorities are not operating under the standard of an "agreement to agree", since the outside assistance, by providing a fresh point of view, may help to resolve an impasse.
- 41.1 The possibility for such assistance may include the utilization of non-binding methods of dispute resolution, such as mediation. For countries that wish to use such procedures, there are several non-binding methods that can be used to resolve disputes between parties at an early or later stage of the competent authority process. Such non-binding means of dispute resolution could range from facilitating the relational aspects of the competent authority process to providing insights or views on the substantive tax matters at hand in the dispute. Such methods are presently used for the resolution of tax disputes under the domestic laws of a number of countries. These procedures should, however, be utilized with due regard to issues such as the timing and duration of the procedures, the mechanism and criteria for selection of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (o h2 (e)4 (co)) and contains the dispute of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (or)) and contains the dispute of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (or)) and contains the dispute of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (or)) and contains the dispute of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (or)) and contains the dispute of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (or)) and contains the dispute of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (or)) and contains the dispute of the mediator or other such appoin0[ (a f)3 (or)-1 (s-ae (m)-a fn)-4 (or)).