

U EDNA DP ETRB AL

Case №. ~~№ 12/17~~ 69

Judgment №. ~~№ 1/17~~

1.

Case №.

№ ~~7/12/19~~ 69

Art. 1² of the D Statute and art. of the D Rules of Procedure stipulate, respectively, that:

Either party may apply to the Dispute Tribunal for an interpretation of the meaning or the scope of the final judgment, provided that it is not under consideration by the Appeals Tribunal.

and

Either party may apply to the Dispute Tribunal for an interpretation of the meaning or the scope of a judgment, provided that it is not under consideration by the Appeals Tribunal. The application for interpretation shall be sent to the other party, who shall have the right to submit comments on the application. The Dispute Tribunal will decide whether to admit the application for interpretation and, if it does so, shall issue its interpretation.

As noted at paragraph above, on 1 September 2012, the Applicant filed an application contesting, *ne a a*, the non implementation of Judgment *O oko u* D 2015/4. The Tribunal determined at para. 1 of Judgment *O oko u* D 2012/19, that the issue of whether the Applicant was properly and continuously separated from service with the United Nations was resolved in 2016 by the payment to the Applicant of two years' net base salary in lieu of reinstating her, pursuant to the *O oko u* D 2015/4.

9. Further, the Tribunal rests that the Applicant tried to raise the claim in case *O oko u* D B 2019/16. In that case, the Tribunal found that the

