

United Nations Appeals Tribunal Tribunal d'Appel des Nations Unies

Avramoski (Appellant)

v.

Secretary-General of the United Nations (Respondent)

JUDGMENT

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL				
Facts and Procedure				

The United	Nations A	PPEALS TRIB	UNAL	
				vice versa
	Submissio	ons		
The Appellant's Appeal				

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

The Secretary-General's Answer		

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL			

-8th 2637 (N) 12 -8 0.5 Eh0-901TJ ((U)4)4.(12 -0 0 12 37Tc 67TT2	1 Tf -0.02 Tw10.3784)]57TN

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Did the Dispute Tribunal err in determining the application was receivable because the refusal to change the EOD date was the relevant administrative decision?			
36.			
			Rasul, Sefraoui,
	conditio sin	e qua non	
			Sefraoui

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Andronov :		
		Andati-Amwayi
	Lee	
Reid v. Secretary-General of the United Nation Andati-	ns Andronov	

	•
	Lee, supra.
	at the 2008 entry of the EOD date was outside the
temporal jurisdiction of the Tribunal?	
Omwanda	
	d Nations
Januari Secretary General of the Office	



(Signed) (Signed)