UNITED NATIONS DISPUTETRIBUNAL

Introduction

1. The Applicant was employed by the World Fod Programme (WFP) in Nairobi from 22 Februar 1999 She was separated from service on 20 August 2008.

2. On 23 November 2011 the Applicant filed an Application with the United Nations Dispute Tribunal (UNDT) requesting compensation arising out officer wrongful appointment at the General Service Level> 34WH LPSXJQHG whether the Vhold RQ (@ applied to and been selected for at Normal Officer position with WFP in Nairobi, Kenya.

3. The Applicant states that the contested decision was taken on 1 January 2001 and that she first came to know about it on 24 Jay 2001.

4. Concurrent with the filing of her Application the Applicant submittely digition requesting a waiver of time mits, since her Application was out of times the Application and the Motion were served on the Respondent on 30 November 2011.

5. By Motion dated 12 December 2011, the Respondent requested the Tribunal to permit him to file a Reply on the issue of receivability alone, and that this be dealt with as a preliminary matter.

6.

Country Office was not going to help her. At that point, she decided to write to the Executive Director.

16. When she received no response from the Executive Director, the Applicant contacted the WFP OmbudsDnQ ¶ V R IILFH E X WinuChLaesisCanRet/VfrdzhHinFenH.LYH In the end, the Applicant resigned.

17. 7 KH \$SSOLFDQW VWDW hbGbet/dot/sed/dot/sellby8/he'time/cdDG my separation with WFP in August 2008, and secondly, I just learned recently that even a IRUPHU PHPEHU RI VWDII FDQ DSSHDO WR WKH 7ULEXQDO

18. : KHQ SURYLGLQJ KHU 5HVSRQVH WR WKH 5HVSRQGH Applicant DVVHUWV WKDW VKH ³ZDLWHG SDWLHQWO\ RQ WKH ZDQW WR FDXVH XQHDVH LQ DQ RIILFH HQYLURQPHQW´ D P\ VHQLRUV DW WKTHMe Application Wogdeles & non-ital state of the tribunal of tribunal of the tribuna of the tribunal of the tribunal of the tribunal of the tribuna o

Consideration

19. The Applicant, by her own admission, became aware of the impugned administrative decision on 24 January 2001.

20. At the time of the impugned decision, tDespute Tribunal did not exist and the former 100series of Staff Rules applied to the Applicant. Former staff rule 111.2(a) was as follows:

A staff member wishing to a \$ HDO DQ DGPLQLVWUDWLYH GHFLVL step, address a letter to the Secretary eral, requesting that the administrative decision be reviewed; such letter must be sent within two months from the date the staff member received notification bet decision in writing.

21. The Applicant should, therefore, have raised a formal request for rewie?? March 2001. Whilst the Tribunal understands and accepts that the Applicant was not

Case No. UNDT/NB/2011/073 Judgment No. UNDT/202