





DTA "e\$ d g'ade D92 and t"at! a&&o'd%ing to se&t%ion 15 of adm%nist'at%2e %nst'u&t%ion 8T/A@/2010/5 )Pe'fo'man&e =anagement and De2e\$o#ment 84stem,! t"e 'e(utta\$ #ane\$ mem(e's "ad to (e eAua\$ %n g'ade o' "%g"e' t"an t"e 'e#o't%ing off%&e' - "ose e2a\$uat%on - as (e%ng 'e(uttet. Oe fu't"e' noted t"at t"e'e - as on\$4 one %nd%2%dua\$ on t"e UN DC \$%st of 'e(utta\$ #ane\$ mem(e's - "ose g'ade - as D92 and none at a "%g"e' \$e2e\$! and "e e6#\$a%ned t"at gu%dan&e - as (e%ng soug"t f'om t"e Un%ted Nat%ons 8e&'eta'%at OeadAua'te's %n Ne- Bo'1 as to "o- to #'o&eed.

7. >u't"e' &o'es#onden&e ensued and! unde' &o2e' of an ema\$ of 1C A#'%\$ 2012! t"e A##\$%&ant 'e&e%2ed a ne- \$%st of 'e(utta\$ #ane\$ mem(e's! and "e - as asled to se\$e&t mem(e's fo' t"e 'e(utta\$ #ane\$ - "%&" - as to 'e2%e- "%s 2011 #e'fo'man&e a##'a%sa\$.

D. T"e A##\$%&ant 'es#onded on 23 A#'%\$ 2012! mainta%ning t"at t"e #e'fo'man&e a##'a%sa\$ and 'e(utta\$ #'o&ess - e'e ta%nted (4 %'egu\$a't%ties and! - "%Se %nd%&at%ng t"e names of t"e t"ee D92 staff mem(e's - "om "e "ad se\$e&tet to se'2e on t"e 'e(utta\$ #ane\$! "e st'essed t"at one of t"em! =s. .! s"ou\$d 'e&use "e'se\$f %n #a't%u\$a' (e&ause of t"e #os%t%ions s"e "ad ado#ted %n 'e\$at%on to ot'e' &ases f%sed (4 t"e A##\$%&ant (efo'e t"e T"(una\$.

C. n 17 June 2012! t"e A##\$%&ant - as %nfo'med t"at! fo\$\$o- %ng 'efe''a\$ of t"e %ssue of 'e#\$a&ement of =s. . to t"e ff%&e of Ouman ?esou&es =anagement %n Ne- Bo'1! %t "ad (een de&ided to g%2e "%m t"e o#t%on to e%t"e' ag'ee to an e6&e#t%ona\$ %n&\$usion %n t"e UN DC \$%st of 'e(utta\$ #ane\$ mem(e's of staff mem(e's f'om ot'e' off%&es! o' to se\$e&t %n t"at \$%st anot"e' UN DC staff mem(e'! - "ose #'omot%on to g'ade D92 - ou\$d (e effe&t%2e f'om 1 August 2012.

10. T"e A##\$%&ant 'es#onded on t"e same da4 t"at "e d%id not a&&e#t t"ese #'o#osa\$s.

11. ; 4 an ema\$ of 1C June 2012! t"e C"%ef of t"e 8taff Adm%nist'at%on Un%! O? =8! %nfo'med t"e A##\$%&ant t"at t"e a\$te'nat%2e #'o#osed on 17 June 'ef\$e&tet t"e on\$4 t- o #oss%(e o#t%ons and s"e asled "%m "o- "e - %s"ed to #'o&eed.

12. On 27 June 2012, the Applicant sought management reorganisation of the de&#x2013;union. It&#x2013;communicated to the Commission on 1C/ June 2012, not to proceed with the reorganisation. The Commission's decision to refuse the Applicant's request was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation.

13. Also on 27 June, the Applicant sought the Tribunal's decision on the proposed reorganisation. The Tribunal's decision was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation.

14. On 28 June 2012, the Applicant sent to the Tribunal a letter in response to the Tribunal's decision. The letter stated that the Applicant was not satisfied with the Tribunal's decision and requested that the Tribunal reconsider its decision. The Tribunal's decision was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation.

15. The Tribunal's decision dated 2 July 2012, to refuse the Applicant's request for an amended decision of the Tribunal's decision on the proposed reorganisation, was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation.

16. As a result of the Tribunal's decision, the Applicant's request for an amended decision of the Tribunal's decision on the proposed reorganisation was refused. The Tribunal's decision was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation.

17. According to article 4 of the Rules of Procedure, the Tribunal may determine on its own initiative that a summary judgment is appropriate. This is usually the case when the facts of the case and the judgment are established to a degree of certainty. In this case, the Tribunal found that the Applicant's request for an amended decision was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation. The Tribunal's decision was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation.

18. Article 2.1 of the Tribunal's Statute provides that the Tribunal may determine on its own initiative that a summary judgment is appropriate. This is usually the case when the facts of the case and the judgment are established to a degree of certainty. In this case, the Tribunal found that the Applicant's request for an amended decision was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation. The Tribunal's decision was based on the fact that the Applicant had not provided sufficient information to the Commission to justify the proposed reorganisation.

and the Dispute Tribunal (under "a" ended the definition # of the form UN Adm'n'st'at'2e T' (under Judgment No. 1157! )2003,H

.A/n \*adm'n'st'at'2e de&s'on+ is a un'sate'a's de&s'on ta'en (4 t'e Adm'n'st'at'on n a #'e&se nd%2%dua\$ &ase )nd%2%dua\$ adm'n'st'at'2e a&t,! - "%&" #'odu&es d'e&t \$ega\$ &onseAuen&es to t'e \$ega\$ o'de' I Adm'n'st'at'2e de&s'ions a'e t'e'efo'e &"a'a&te'%Jed (4 t'e fa&t t'at t'e4 a'e ta'en (4 t'e Adm'n'st'at'on! t'e4 a'e un'sate'a's and of nd%2%dua\$ a##\$%&at'on! and t'e4 &a'4 d'e&t \$ega\$ &onseAuen&es. )see 20109UNAT9013 and 20109UNAT9030F see a'sso UNDT/200C/0D6 and UNDT/2010/111,

1C. >u't'e! n ! t'e Dispute Tribunal (under "e" ended the definition # of the form UN Adm'n'st'at'2e T' (under Judgment No. 1157! )2003,H de&s'on must (e f'na\$ as t - %\$ on\$4 (e a(\$e to affe&t an a##\$%&ant's \$ega\$ 'g'ts on&e t'e de&s'on is a&tua\$\$4 made )see a'sso 20119UNAT9152,.

20. @n &"a'sseng'ng - "at t'e A##\$%&ant des%'(es as \*t'e de&s'on not to #'o&eed - %t" t'e 'e(utta\$+ #'o&ess n 'e'sat'on to "%s 2011 #'e'fo'man&e a##'a'sa\$! "e a&tua\$\$4 %m#ugns t'e a'ste'nat'2e offe'd (4 t'e Adm'n'st'at'on to 'e'sa&e a 'e(utta\$ #ane\$ mem(e' - "ose 'e&usa\$ "ad (een soug"t (4 t'e A##\$%&ant. T"e Adm'n'st'at'on's #'o#osed a'ste'nat'2e does not n an4 - a4 Aua\$%f4 as a \*f'na\$ de&s'on+. No' &an %t (e &ons'de'd as a de&s'on \*not to #'o&eed+ - %t" t'e 'e(utta\$ #'o&ess n 'e'sat'on to t'e A##\$%&ant's 2011 #'e'fo'man&e a##'a'sa\$.

21. @n &"a'sseng'ng t'e Adm'n'st'at'on's #'o#osed a'ste'nat'2e! t'e A##\$%&ant su(m'ts t'at t'e Adm'n'st'at'on e'ed n 'e\$4%hg) A#1J50 (')3.0 0 781(e)3.84766(\$)-22.168(4)200

as (ot" f%'st and se&ond 'e#o't'ing off%'e' &an on\$4 (e 'e2%e - ed - %t"n t"e &onte6t of t"e assessment of t"e fina\$ de&s%on! t"at %s! t"e out&ome of t"e staff mem(e'5s #e'fo'man&e a##'a%sa\$. @n t""s &ase! t"e 'e(utta\$ #'o&ess 'ega'd'ing t"e A##\$%&ant5s 2011 #e'fo'man&e a##'a%sa\$ %s ongo%ng and ""s a##\$%&at%on %s t"e'efo'e #'ematu'e.

2<. @n 2%e - of t"e fo'ego%ng! t"e T'%(una\$ DEC@DE8H

T"e A##\$%&at%on %s 'e3e&ted.

)  
, KKK

Judge T"omas 7a1e'

Dated t""s 3<sup>'d</sup> da4 of Ju\$4 2012

Ente'ed %n t"e ?eg%ste' on t""s 3<sup>'d</sup> da4 of Ju\$4 2012

) ,  
KK

?enL = . Va'gas = .! ?eg%st'a'! Gene2a