



UN

NAME

DE

TRNL

Case

N/2011/071

UN/2012/201

Date

19 Dec 2012

D

E

Before:

~~h~~ ~~n~~ ~~d~~ ~~e~~

~~b~~ ~~y~~ ~~n~~ ~~d~~ ~~iv~~

~~S~~/2008/5.

8. ~~O~~ 2011, ~~BNM~~ ~~am~~

12. 026 02011, hApE dhtApth
Dpht22 Dht2011.

13. hHhgn 6 02012. hApth
CHM CD(CFCD)gn

Issues:

14. hHhsv

i hHhgn dh ydh

Ap h 6 h d h p o
hH/2008/5;

i hHh hApth

hHhChhShtis

Dh009 hH hH

i hHhgn 5.18(a 6

hH/2008/5 hApth dhHhDh009

hHhsv hHhgn

dh4.2(h.6(h.58h-67()h 1 h -2.723 Dh 025 (hHh6.12

18. ~~h~~hAp
~~h~~hDf
d2 M2011. Df
fDfSf 23 F2011 a
M2011, hAsf
hApf6h06 Ap2011, Df
hApf
d hS/2008/5. Ad
p i wa h p n h

~~h~~h
~~h~~hDf 23 F
~~h~~hSf
d2 M2011, p61
fDfASfSf
o hNM f h
h Df h NM
e t b i t p o

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

24. 11/11/10 22/11/10, h

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

11/11/10

persona non grata 11/11/10. 11/11/10

CONFIDENTIAL 2011/071

CONFIDENTIAL 2012/201

32. ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
 5.18, ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ 5.11 to
 5.20, ~~_____~~

33. ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~

Whether the Respondent violated the Applicant's rights by not taking disciplinary action against the Complainants after SIU concluded in its December 2009 IR that their allegations were unfounded

34. ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~

35. ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~
~~_____~~ ~~_____~~

Considerations

36. 02.3 05/2005/21 ph

02.3 05/2005/21 ph

02.3 05/2005/21 ph

49. 07/12/2008/5, hApch

hApch

hApch

hApch

Considerations

50. 5.18(a) 07/12/2008/5 p

07/12/2008/5

hApch

(a) 07/12/2008/5

hApch

hApch

hApch..

07/12/2008/5

hApch

hApch

51. hApch 2009, wo

hApch

hApch

07/12/2008/5. 5

5.14 07/12/2008/5 q

inter alia, hApch

hApch

hApch

hApch

hApch

hApch

52. Aspch

07/12/2008/5

07/12/2008/5

(D) 07/12/2008/5

07/12/2008/5

2010, hApch

hApch

hApch

hApch

hApch

5.14 07/12/2008/5

5 hApch

hApch

07/12/2008/5

hApch

hApch

53. In 16 April 2011 in D...
(D...)

At the
...
.../2008/5, D...
...5.18 6
.../2008/5 [..

...
...
...

54. ...
... (ie ...)
...
...

...
...
.../2008/5 ...

55. ...5.18(a), ...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...

...
...
...7 ...2011. ...
...5.18(a) ...
...
...
...
...
...
...5.18 ...

56. In Adorna .../2010/205, ...
...
... () ...
... () ...

...
...

http://
il

(ie) 6/16

57. hpa hpa

shh

ghh

r o6hg

dhhg6

ghh

shh

hA hsh

shh

shh

shh

ghh

ghh

ghh

ghh 5.18(a) 6

SS/2008/5. As a hsh

ghh

shh

ghh

shh Adorna shh

shh

shh

shh

shh

58. shh

shh

shh 2010, shh

shh 5.18(a),

shh

shh

ie 7 2011. shh

shh

shh NM A6

shh

shh

shh

shh

shh

5.18(a) SS/2008/5. shh

shh

A 2011, shh

shh 5.18(a) shh

shh

shh

shh

shh

Whether the Applicant's credibility and professional reputation were damaged as a result of the 2009 complaints and investigation? If so, is she entitled to compensation?

59. ~~FA 11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~

60. ~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~

Conclusion

61. ~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/2008/5~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/2009~~ ~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/2009~~ ~~11/11/11~~

⁴ ~~FA 11/11/11~~ ~~11/11/11~~
~~11/11/11~~

Judgment

62. ~~Highly~~ ~~g h h h~~ ~~e p o p h~~
~~Applicant~~ ~~g h h h~~ ~~o s l o p o~~
~~g h h h~~ ~~h h~~ ~~h h h~~
 2009 ~~h h~~

63. ~~Exhibit 60 of~~ ~~h h h h~~
~~g h h h~~ ~~e s h h h~~
~~h h h~~ ~~g h h h - g p~~ ~~h h h~~
~~h h h~~ ~~h h h~~

64. ~~Ally~~

(Signed)

~~h h~~

~~h h~~

~~h h~~ 2012

~~h h~~ 19

~~h h~~ 2012

(Signed)

~~h h h h~~