Case No.: UNDT/NY/2010/070

Judgment No.: UNDT/2013/075

Date: 3 May 2013

## Introduction

1. On 17 May 2010, the Applicant, a former Learning Specialist at the P-4 level in the Organizational Learning and Deoxement Section ("OLDS"), United Nations Children's Fund ("UNICEF"), filed an paplication with the Dispute Tribunal contesting the 22 December 2009 decisionthous Director, Division of Human Resources ("the Director"), UNICEF, to dismiss her complaint against the Chief of OLDS ("the Chief") for harassment and a

they may be couched in other terms, ranejudicata, which means that the applicant does not have the right toiling the same complaint again.

- 7. Once a matter has been determined with finality, parties should not be able to re-litigate the same issue. Assue, broadly speaking, assmatter of fact or question of law in a dispute between two or morpharties which a court is called upon to decide and pronounce itself on in its judgmeAnticle 2.1 of the Tribunal's Statute states that the Tribunal "shall be competent to hear parts judgment on an application filed by an individual", as provided for in art. 3.1 of the Statute. Generally, a judgment involves a final determination of the proceedings or of a particular issue in those oppreedings. The object of the judicata rule is that "there must be an end to litigation" in order "to sente the stability of the judicial process" (Meron 2012-UNAT-198) and that a litigant solution not have to answer the same cause twice.
- 8. Therefore, a determination on a techniomalinterlocutory matter is not a final disposal of a case, and ander for withdrawal is not alwas decisive of the issues raised in a case. Infonagas UNDT/2010/074, the Tribunaledalt with a withdrawal by the applicant on the grounds that hereinded to commence proceedings against the Organization in the national counds Venezuela. The Tribunal enquired of the applicant's counsel whethere applicant was aware asthrese status of the United Nations before national courts, the facatthree United Nations retained discretion regarding its own immunity, and thereforthe hurdles the applicant might face seeking relief in such a manner. Furtherectwithstanding that the matter had not been canvassed on the merits, it would underlikely for it to be reinstated once disve of t-71 D .00einstielioninstielioninuch2 TD 0 Tc .245

a general principle of procedural law that the right to institute legal proceedings is predicated upon theoticion that the person using this right has a legitimate interest in initiating and maintaining legal action. Access to the court has todesnied to those who are no longer interested in the piceedings instituted.

- 9. In the instant case, the Tribunalndis that the aforesaid request by the Applicant is an unequivocal withdrawdallthe application with informed consent, and premised on a full and final settlementary claims whatsoever and howsoever arising from this cause of action, two tut liberty to reinstate or appeal.
- 10. The Applicant having confirmed that shis indeed withdrawing the matter fully, finally and entirely, including on the merits, without right of reinstatement or appeal, dismissal of the case with a vitewfinality of proceedings is the most appropriate course of action.
- 11. In view of the nature of the claim in dispute and thosests already incurred, as well as potential costs of subsequentigation, the Tribunacommends both parties and their Counsel for their efforts in reving the case amicably. The Tribunal notes that such efforts should be encouraged amicable resolution of cases saves the valuable resources of staff and the Organization rad contributes to the harmonious working relationship between the parties.
- 12. The Tribunal further observes that thep Aicant has requested that her name be withheld from any judgment or orderublished in her case. This request was motivated on the fact that "she is recently employed by anber [United Nations] entity under a contract subject renewal and due to the site inverted nature of the issues

Conclusion

13. The Applicant has withdrawn the matfelly, finally and entirely, including on the merits, with the intention of relesiong the dispute between the parties in finality. There no longer being any deternation to make, this application is dismissed in its entire without liberty toreinstate or appeal.

(Signed)

Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

Dated this 3 day of May 2013

Entered in the Register on this day of May 2013

(Signed)

Hafida Lahiouel, Registrar, New York