
UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/GVA/2014/0

! "#g \$e%& No.: UNDT/2011/01

Date: 18 (e)*"a*+ 2011

O*,g,%a-: E%g-,s.

Introduction

1. The present case concerns a request for information filed on 10 November 2014 and a subsequent request for information filed on 10 November 2014 and a subsequent request for information filed on 10 November 2014.

A. /n 5e"tem 'e(201.) an 5PA Pane# 6as %on&ened. T*e +

1.. 8n 10 De%em'e(2014) t*e +es"ondent f\$hed a mot\$on fo(#ea&e to f\$#e a (e"#! #m\$ted to (e%e&a'#\$t!) and to *a&e t*e \$ssue of (e%e&a'#\$t! dete(m\$ned as a "(e#m\$na(! matte(.

14. ! 8(de(No. 192 IGVA/20144 of 11 De%em'e(2014) t*e T(\$'una# offe(ed t*e A""#\$%ant t*e "oss\$'#\$t! to f\$#e a (es"onse on t*e +es"ondent,s mot\$on) 6*%* s*e d\$d on 15 De%em'e(2014.

15. Pu(suant to 8(de(No. 19. IGVA/20144 of 17 De%em'e(2014) t*e +es"ondent f\$hed a (e"#! #m\$ted to (e%e&a'#\$t! on .1 De%em'e(2014.

1A. ! 8(de(No. A IGVA/20154 of 8 Janua(! 2015) t*e T(\$'una# o(de(ed t*at t*e "a(t\$es f\$#e (easoned o'De%t\$ons) \$f an!) to a Dudge(m)ent on (e%e&a'#\$t! 'e\$ng \$ssued on t*e "a"e(s. None of t*e "a(t\$es f\$#ed an! o'De%t\$ons t*e(eto.

Parties' submissions on receivability

17. T*e A""#\$%ant,s "(n%\$"a# %ontent\$ons a(e>

a. 5*e (e%e&&ed t*e f\$(st 6(\$tten %ommun\$%at\$on f(om t*e C*\$ef) =+5) e<"(ess\$ng *e(o"\$n\$on on t*e matte(of t*e SPA on 18 June 2014E

'. P(\$o(to t*at) t*e d\$\$%uss\$on *ad 'een an on7go\$ng d\$a#ogue 'et 6een *e(

18.

an adm\$nst(at&e de%ss\$on *as to 'e 'ased on o'De%t&e e#ements 6*\$%* 'ot*
"a(t\$es %an dete(m\$ne 6\$t* a%%u(a% ! IRosana 20127UNAT727.4 *en%e an!
su'De%t&e %ons\$de(at\$ons ' ! t*e A""#\$%ant a(e \$(e#e&antE t*e A""#\$%ant
%on%eded F \$n a %ommun\$at\$on to t*e +eg\$st(a) /CT0) of
4 No&em'e(2014 F t*at s*e (e)e&ed t*e de%ss\$on of t*e SPA to t*e G57A
#e&e# \$n 8%to'e(201 .E

g. A staff mem'e(6*o de%des to (eso(t to negot\$at\$ons 6\$t* t*e
8(gan\$Gat\$on does not a'so#&e *\$m o(*e(f(om t*e o

Case No.

UNDT/GVA/2014/077

to the respondent) said decision was notified to the Applicant on 14 August 2011. The decision was not contested by the Applicant.

25. Given assuming that an individual (notwithstanding that the Applicant was not a financial institution) the employee has no doubt that the decision by the respondent (CTO) of 27 September 2011) notified to the Applicant on 14 August 2011) was so. Accordingly the employee stated to (un) as from the date of said notification.

2A. The employee and the respondent of the above mentioned dispute and the subsequent communication from the Chief Executive was on a contractual relationship and would not (set the statute of limitations) for the employee (employee) (management and union) on 2 June 2014) the respondent (employee) as to (employee) as ((employee) the *ratione materiae* (see Eggesfield 2014 UNAT 74024).

Conclusion

27. The respondent of the foregoing the Tribunal (DCC/DC5)

The Applicant is (employee).

Signed

Judge Thomas Iacob

Dated 18th day of :e' (ua) 2015

Conte (ed) in the employee on 18th day of :e' (ua) 2015

Signed

+enJ ; . Va(gas ; .) +egst(a) Gene&a