

U ED NA D E TR B

Introduction

B App Don on 2014 App in Ar p on's

2 B r r A 2 o D 2 n o Co n^s or
App D n n or r e n D n r D n D^s

B n n o r o r o n r d d p r n p r s
 A n r s r d o n d o o p r s o r d o n o o o d d n d r
 d n App d n r s o d o n r d e n
 A n r s r d o n d n App d n r p r s n n d s d d n
 A n r s r d o n o n o n d r d d n r r s o o n r d d r
 d e n r s d o o n r n
 n d r d o r o r r r o d App d n r s n r p d n o r s
 d n r s r d n p r r s

r o r r o r d s n d App d n r q r s d
 r o r r s o n r p d n r s r s o p p d n d e r e r s or d
 d n d r o r r s d

5 **R** s p o n n r s p r n p d o n n o n r s d

d A d r o o d d p p r o d d o n o o r d p r o
 p d n r s d s d p r o n r p d n o n r s r d n p r r s d r s
 n r n o n o o r p d n r s d s o n d n n A n r s r d
 n r s r o n A 2 0 1 1 d n n r s n r o r

e App d n d o r A n r s r d o n o o p r
 d o n d d p p r o d r d o r q r s o d n r s r d
 o r d d o n d d s n d o o d s o r d n d
 r s o d e n d n r r s n d o r p d n o App d n r s r d d
 d e r o r n r r r s o d n r s r d n r s r o n

App d n d s n o n d n o r r d o n o r n r s r d
 o r d d n o r s n o p r o n o o n r d n r s r d
 d p p d o n o r o r s n o n r s d p r d o n q o

Applicant's proposed reasons for dismissal are as follows:

Consideration

Receivability

Applicant's proposed reasons for dismissal are as follows: 1. The applicant was not given a fair hearing before the disciplinary committee.

Applicant's proposed reasons for dismissal are as follows: 2. The applicant was not given a fair hearing before the disciplinary committee. 3. The applicant was not given a fair hearing before the disciplinary committee.

in order to present the applicant's case in a timely manner. *ratione materiae* Egglefield 2004 492 *ratione temporis*

Merits

The applicant's proposed reasons for dismissal are as follows: 1. The applicant was not given a fair hearing before the disciplinary committee.

Medical insurance

The applicant's proposed reasons for dismissal are as follows: 1. The applicant was not given a fair hearing before the disciplinary committee.

The applicant's proposed reasons for dismissal are as follows: 2. The applicant was not given a fair hearing before the disciplinary committee.

¹ Staff rule 3.18(c)(ii) replaced staff rule 3.17(c)(ii) referred to in ST/AI/2009/1 (Recovery of overpayments made to staff members), albeit with exactly the same wording.

2 A 2009 R o r o o rpD n r s D o r s D e r r s r p r s

Section 1
Definitions

o o n ' n on r s r s D D p p or p r p o r s r s o
pr r s n n r s r on

D r p D n r s D r p D n r s D r e

22 r pD n rSD m ' n ' r or p rpor rso A 2009
 ' n on rson rsn pDn m d ' n on o o r pD n d
 d e rSD ' d s A pD n d r s or m d o n o ' or ' 3
 d n r s ' n ' m ' n ' rsoo ' o m d on o pD n m d o n
 pD d d r s ' r m d o n ' r n ' e r r n o d d or r s r s ' n
 d d on o n n rso d p r' on r s r s d d ' e r r n o
 r s d r s d s d e d s r d d d o m r s or ' d on por s d r s n m '
 * r s m p d n e d o ' ' on or rSD d s r s n m ' d
 n r s r m r s e n d p d n e ' r on o rSD e r o
 r m r d on d p r' on r s p d ' or m d o n ' d r d prop r
 d d on n rSD o d n pr r s ' n on o o r pD n n
 A 2009 d r d s e n m o r pD n o d p r' on d s m n
 r p o d r d r pD n m d o r n ' m ' d s ' o

2 m er ' d p m pro ' r s or ' d n r s r m o r d or ' ' or
 rSD e r r s o m no rSD on ' n n ' d r s n or d on Cr m
 C 2009 4 m er ' d ' d o r p d m ' n d n r s r m pro r d or
 rSD e r r s d d ro d q m r s ' r n r s r ' r n pr r d
 ro p r s rSD r s ' o n d ' r n d on o pr r s d m cro d
 o n r s r d p ' o r d o d r s or o d on r s n ' '
 n d r d ro p A d r s r s p o o r d m ' on pr r s
 d p p n o d o r s ro p r s o rSD e r r s ' p n ' n on r ' rSD on o
 d s n n m ' n e ro e d e r s o e o r ' m R d
 ro p 2 o r s rSD e r r s ' rSD on C m ' o r d
 ro p o r s r s r n E rop m ' n ' r s inter alia rSD e r r s r
 ' rSD on n d n d r d ro p n o p d s r s d e r r s '
 rSD on d d o d on r s o r s ' o n ' d r s o A r d o r m o r s
 r s ' n ' r d ro p r s 2 m '

³ Black's Law Dictionary, Tenth Edition, 2004

24 In no case should the Appellate Tribunal be required to
conduct an investigation into the conduct of the respondent
in order to determine whether the respondent is fit to
hold the position of a public servant. The respondent
has been shown to be a person of good character and
sound mind, and the Appellate Tribunal is not required
to conduct an investigation into the conduct of the
respondent in order to determine whether the respondent
is fit to hold the position of a public servant. The
respondent has been shown to be a person of good
character and sound mind, and the Appellate Tribunal
is not required to conduct an investigation into the
conduct of the respondent in order to determine
whether the respondent is fit to hold the position
of a public servant.

5 Appellate Tribunal is not required to conduct an
investigation into the conduct of the respondent
in order to determine whether the respondent is
fit to hold the position of a public servant. The
respondent has been shown to be a person of
good character and sound mind, and the
Appellate Tribunal is not required to
conduct an investigation into the conduct
of the respondent in order to determine
whether the respondent is fit to hold the
position of a public servant. The respondent
has been shown to be a person of good
character and sound mind, and the
Appellate Tribunal is not required to
conduct an investigation into the
conduct of the respondent in order to
determine whether the respondent is
fit to hold the position of a public
servant.

2 The Appellate Tribunal is not required to
conduct an investigation into the conduct
of the respondent in order to determine
whether the respondent is fit to hold the
position of a public servant. The
respondent has been shown to be a
person of good character and sound
mind, and the Appellate Tribunal is
not required to conduct an
investigation into the conduct of
the respondent in order to
determine whether the respondent
is fit to hold the position of a
public servant. The respondent
has been shown to be a person
of good character and sound
mind, and the Appellate Tribunal
is not required to conduct an
investigation into the conduct
of the respondent in order to
determine whether the respondent
is fit to hold the position of a
public servant.

2
7
r r or App in D's no n o n's r in in D
on n A 15 2009 n D's or's n D C in D's n's r
p r'on inno e D r in D on or 15 D r o n or 15 D o
r in r D on on's D's on Dn n C 2009 4 15

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2014/041

Judgment No. UNDT/2015/055

referred to in principle on the basis of error