

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2014/063

JudgmenNo.: UNDT/2016075

English

Before:

Registry

Registra

Coursel for the Respondent: Steven Detrich, ALS/OHRM Nicole Wynn, ALS/OHRM

Introduction and Procedural History

- 1. The Applicant holds a fixeterm appointment with the United Nations. He is currently a Road and Airfield Engineerat the United Nations Organization Stabilization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUS.CO) serves at the -Pt level and is based in Goma, Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC).
- 2. On 5 August 2014the Applicant filed an Application with the United Nations
 Dispute Tribunal in Nairoibchallenging the decisiondenying him the lumpsum
 relocation grant for the shipment of his personal effects on being reassigned from
 Kinshasato Goma in 2014.
- 3. The Respondent replied to the Application35eptembe2014.
- 4. The Tribunal held a case makeagent discussion ee Jlonal

8. On the evening of 15 June 2015, the Parties filed a motion requesting that the deadline be extended up to [ale]

15. The Applicant was requested to contact the Movement rolo section (MOVCON) in order to make all the necessary arrangements, including the shipment of all his personal effects to a maximum of 100 kilograms to his new duty station

16.

United Nations expense to a duty station for an assignment change of official duty station. The reassignment memo also confirms that DSA portion will be at the destination duty station rafte

- 23. "Duty station" is uniformly considered to be a city,traccountry, a province, area or a Mission. This is apparent from International Civil Service Commission (ICSC) Hardship Classification, OHRM's list of nonfamily duty stations as at 1 January 2014, the list of the largest duty stations that the Stacry General has reported to the General Assembly the categorization by the United Nations Department of Safety and Security the Applicant's letters of appointment and personnel action forms
- 24. Pursuant to section 11.1 o ST/AI/2006/5, a staff member who is eligible may opt for a lump sum payment lieu of the entitlement to shippin lo discretion is conferred upon the Administration to take a decision in specifices. There is nothing in ST/AI/2006/5 that could be plausibly read esating an exception for "Mission area" or "within country" travel
- 25. The Organization, subject to certain constraints, can amend administrative issuances to change benefits. It can grant **Res**pondentdiscretion to provide benefits. It can even abolish **rises**its outright. In short, it can change the lawhat the Organization cannot do is ignore the law as it stands. If ST/AI/2006/5 provides that a benefit must be given, it must be given

Respondent's submissions

26. There is no merit to the Application. Intraission transfers in the DRC are made using the dited Nations Transportation. For reasons of efficiency and reliability, the Organization transports staff members' personal effects to the location of their

⁴ Staff rule 7.14(e.)

⁵ Staff rule 7.14(f)

⁶ Staff rule 7.14¢).

⁽ICSC/CIRC/HC, January 2014).

^{8 (}A/68/256, 30 August 2013).

new assignment. Since staff members do not incursiplicartation costs when they move intramission, there is no basis for payment of a lump significant of reimbursement of transportation costs.

The RLG [Relocation Grant] option does not apply to movements within countries. In these cases, staff members retain their rtght unaccompanied shipments

- 32. The OHRM Guidelinesacknowledgethat in a field operation, mission staff may frequently be reassigned between duty stations within the mission area by the Chief/Director of Mission Support due to operational needs. For mbetween mission duty stations, the mission itself arranges the shipment of the staff member's personal effects from the previous duty station to the new duty station of formation using United Nationsair transportation and/or United Nationsvehicle.
- 33. The relocation grant option is not applicable where there is no prospect of the staff member incurring costs and, as such, no obligation to reimburse the staff member could possibly arise. Where there are no potential costs that may be reimbursed understaff rule 7.15(d), the right to reimbursement does not arise, nor does the right to opt out and receive a relocation grant in lieu of reimbursemen
- 34. The application of staff rule 7.15(d) and extion 11.1 of ST/AI/2006/5 to intra mission transfers, as detailed paragraph 5 of the Guidelines, was confirmed in two communications from the Administration to the sistence (Feld Personnel Division (FPD) guidance).
- 35. On 15 January 2007, the Personnel Management Support Service (now FPD) provided additional guidance capplying the relocation grant option in the context of peacekeeping operations and special political missions where it clarified that the relocation option is not applicable to movements within the same country or for within-mission transfers and that, these cases, staff members retain their right to unaccompanied shipment of personal effects.
- 36. In a subsequent fax of 24 June 2009, FPD provided guidance on the movement of staff within a nefamily mission from 1 July 2009 and reiterated that staff membes transferred within a mission are entitled to shipment of their personal effects from the previous mission duty station to the new duty station, to be arranged by the mission, and that there is no option for payment of relocation igrated of

shipment of personal effects for within ission transfers, even if the within ission transfer is to a different country within the mission area.

37. The Applicants argument thatthe Guidelines and the FPD Guidance unlawfully supplement the policy regarding reaction grant and/or the determination of how it is to be implemented as no merit. Staffrule 7.15(d) clearly states that staff members have a right to reimbursement for costs incurred for unaccompanied shipments. Section 11.1 of ST/Al/2006/5 provides that aff member may opt for lump sum payment of relocation grant lieu of reimbursement for imbup [()] TJ ET Q q BT bph(t)

(a) A change of official duty station shallkteaplace when a staff member is assigned from one duty station to another for a opteri exceeding six months or when staff member is transferred for an indefinite perod.

- (b) A change of official duty station shall keep place when a staff member is assigned from a duty station to a United ibliats field mission for a perioexceeding three months
- 41. The Applicant was being assigned from to Goma, both dutytations being within the MONUSCO mission area Since both duty stations are in MONUSCO, can that assignment be interpreted to mean that the Applicant was not entitled to a lumpsum relocation grant on grounds, as the Respondent informed the Applicant on 24 January 2014 that his reassignment "was in the same mission"?
- 42. Mission area was not defined in ST/Al/2006/5. However t6&C Hardship Classification gives a list of duty stations located in a country, and the DRC where MONUSCO isBunia and Gomære classified as separate duty stations. It is not DRC that is classified as one duty station but the two different regionalizations and the classified as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station but the two different regionalizations are considered as one duty station are considered as one duty station are considered as one duty station are considered as one duty are and Goma that are classified as sufabr. purposes of classification of family duty stations or norfamily duty stations, OHRM's list of non-family "duty stations as at 1 January 201 lassifies Bunia and Goma as twdistinct duty stations. In addition the report of the Secretary General to the General Assembly list of refers to Bunia and Goma as two dutyattons¹⁰.
- 43. The Tribunalfinds that the ICSC's list and classification of duty stations has formed, and forms the basis of, the Secreta@eneral and OHRM's own lists and reports.DRC is clearly the Mission Area, within whiceunia and Goma existssa distinct duty stations.
- 44. At the time the Applicant was informed he was being assigned to Goma from Kinshasathe relevant applicable law was ST/AI/2006/5

⁹ (ICSC/CIRC/HC, January (20),4 ¹⁰ (A/68/256, 30 August 20)3

¹¹ ST/AI/2015/1 has since been promulgated to replace ST/AI/2006/5.

45. Section 11.1bf ST/AI/2006/5statedthat:

On travel on appointment or assignment for one yearonger, transfer or separation from service of a staff member appointed for one year or longer, internationally recruited staff members entitled to unaccompanied shipment under staff rules 107.22ff[sule 7.15], 207.20 [cancelled] or 307.6, as detailed as we may opt for a lump sum payment in lieu of the entitlement. This lump

given the principle of legislative hierarchy as held by Judge Ebr**Ωairs**tens in Villamoran

At the top of the hierarchy of the Orgizzation's internal egislation is the Charter of the United Nations, followed by esolutions of the General Assembly, staffegulations, staff rules, Secretar enerals bulletins, a