

U I ED NA I DI ET IB A

ICEF's position is not required to be set out in its

in D. The Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert. The Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert.

in the present case, the Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert. The Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert.

in the present case, the Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert. The Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert.

in the present case, the Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert. The Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert.

in the present case, the Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert. The Appellant's request is not a request for the production of documents or for the appointment of an expert.

Parties submissions

Applicants present the following arguments:

They request the Tribunal to order the respondent to provide the requested information and documents. They also request the Tribunal to order the respondent to pay the costs of the proceedings.

As requested, the Tribunal should order the respondent to provide the requested information and documents. The Tribunal should also order the respondent to pay the costs of the proceedings. The Tribunal should also order the respondent to stop the alleged harassment.

The respondent denies the allegations and requests the Tribunal to dismiss the application. The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to pay the costs of the proceedings.

The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to provide the requested information and documents.

The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to pay the costs of the proceedings. The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to stop the alleged harassment. The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to provide the requested information and documents.

The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to pay the costs of the proceedings. The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to stop the alleged harassment. The respondent also requests the Tribunal to order the applicants to provide the requested information and documents.

n s s t r un **inter alia** to r t ons to r ss n r
s r ontr t or t G ro r Ass st nt post o o
t on ICEF Is unt r nt post o ro r Ass st nt
G E u t on t on ts un to or rs to r on u t t
r tt nt st s ur n tr nsp r nt t o n r on u t t s t on
pro ss

• spon nt s pr n p ont nt ons r

t A n str t on s s r t on n r ru t nt tt rs t
ust t n oo t n r sp tr nt pro ur s n ts s ons
s not r tr r or ot r s ot t tr n ous tors
App nt st ur no pro n t tt pro ur s o t t tt
s on s s or t t rr nt tr s ons r or r nt
t r nor

t st s pr p r su t tt r p rt n t n t s
ns rs r stor n t n o ss n n nu r o to
n t s r tt nt st t Hu n sour s t on or t r
tr ns tt to t t o su t tt r p rt ss ssors to t r t
s or n t t o ss ssors n ss ss t t st n t n
on r s t tt su st n o t t st su tt t App nt n t
o t st su tt to t ss ssors s nt t on n s to
t App nt s r tt nt st to s ur ts non t r

n o tt top o p n

r p n un r t ns r to qu st on p rt t n
n t t App nt s r ss o tt r r A n
A r ABC n t App nt s o n n s
so n u n t tt r n qu st on XYZ

In the present Appellate proceedings, the respondent has not
presented any evidence in support of his claim that the
respondent's conduct was not in accordance with the
provisions of the Staff Regulations.

The respondent's claim that the Appellate Tribunal's
decision is not supported by the evidence is not
sufficiently substantiated to warrant a finding
of procedural irregularity.

It is noted that the respondent's claim that the
respondent's conduct was not in accordance with the
provisions of the Staff Regulations is not supported
by any evidence. The respondent's claim that the
respondent's conduct was not in accordance with the
provisions of the Staff Regulations is not supported
by any evidence.

The respondent's claim that the Appellate Tribunal's
decision is not supported by the evidence is not
sufficiently substantiated to warrant a finding
of procedural irregularity.

pp. 10-11 of the respondent's written submissions.

Consideration

It is noted that the respondent's claim that the
respondent's conduct was not in accordance with the
provisions of the Staff Regulations is not supported
by any evidence. The respondent's claim that the
respondent's conduct was not in accordance with the
provisions of the Staff Regulations is not supported
by any evidence.

App s r un urt rru n **Rolland** A t to
ts r pr su to nr ur pr or A or n n r rut nt
pro ur t A n str t on s to n n s o t t st
r s n tur s n u n r ons r t on t ur n o proo
s ts to t n t o ust t n to s o t rou r n
on n n n t t r n ors t on s n
s on not to s t t App nt to t post o G o o t on

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2016/002

Judgment No. UNDT/2017/019

B or t r un t App nt urt r nt on t t t t st s not
prot t n t s n t usto o t Hu n sour s t on ro

or on not t st n n t r un s on rn t t ICEF
ntrust t tt r su st n str ton o r ru t nt t st to
p rson o s not su t to t t u s n u t ons n t r or to
t st n r s o ount t n nt r t t r t to t ut r to
ICEF A n str t Instru ton o rn n Consu t nts n n u
ontr tors CF AI A n Furt r ntrust n t pp rs to
st un t ons to t Hu n sour s Ass st nt o s r ru t s
onsu t nt t pp rs t t t A n str ton ontr n s
n s o CF AI A n

Ho r s st t o t n o t Hu n sour s Ass st nt
s r n t no ou t t t not n n t r or

r un not s rst t t t r s r s t sp o roun
 t r nt tr s t nt t o quot s n n s t ut to pt t t n
 or n r t t ou tt n su s sp on t r un
 ons rst t t pp rs o r nt to tr In to r s n Contr t n
 t s st rst u t pont rst I t s n ppro
 s r t r r t r t n ns rt n n or n r t n t t o
 r un st us not s t s t t t App nt s r o t on nt s t r s
 orr t n t t t onst tut on n n n t t r t st n tr
 D sp t n s to o so t App nt s not to pro n ot r
 on r t p o t n tr n r t st

r un urt r not s t t t App nt s rst n op o r
 o t st Co o r on r n ICEF su tt ts
 r p to t pr s nt pp t on n tt t App nt s o t st to
 s t s st t nt t t t s nt to t on t t t App nt n
 o r t us r op o t ICEF sust n to r o t st
 r t os to t t on s un rt n t on o r r
 Fu ou t r or ssu t t t t t Fs n F nt t st t t t t Fn F F

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2016/002

Judgment No. UNDT/2017/019

4. In support of the claim, the respondent has submitted evidence that the respondent is not responsible for the damage to the respondent's property. The respondent has submitted evidence that the respondent is not responsible for the damage to the respondent's property. The respondent has submitted evidence that the respondent is not responsible for the damage to the respondent's property.

4. The respondent has submitted evidence that the respondent is not responsible for the damage to the respondent's property. The respondent has submitted evidence that the respondent is not responsible for the damage to the respondent's property. The respondent has submitted evidence that the respondent is not responsible for the damage to the respondent's property.

Conclusion

4. In light of the above, the Tribunal DECIDES to grant the respondent's claim for compensation.

Signed

u. n. Do. n. n.
 D. t. t. s. i. o. r.

Ent. r. n. t. s. t. r. o. n. t. s. i. o. r.

Signed

n. r. s. t. r. r. G. n.