Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2017/111

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2017/111

Judgment No.: UNDT/2017/090

Introduction

1. The Applicant is a former staff member of the Opération des Nations Unies

en Côte d'Ivoire (ONUCI) 1.

2. On 16 November 2017, he filed an application entitled "revision of

Judgment No. UNDT/2016/059."

Facts

3. The Applicant was a locally recruited staff member of ONUCI who served

as a Broadcast Technician.

4. In 2011, Côte d'Ivoire was engulfed in a political crisis which resulted in a

general breakdown of law and order characterized by general acts of violence.

5. On 6 April 2011, the Applicant was attacked and sustained injury after being

hit with rifle butts when armed individuals entered to loot his home.

6. On 14 February 2013, the Applicant filed a claim for compensation for

injuries and losses that he attributed to the performance of his official duties as a

United Nations staff member.

7. The Advisory Board on Compensation Claims (ABCC) met twice to

consider the Applicant's claim. On 13 November 2014, the Chair of the ABCC

recommended to the Secretary-General to reject the Applicant's claim for

compensation. The ABCC's recommendation was approved without alteration, on

behalf of the Secretary-General, by the Officer-in-Charge, Office of Programme

Planning, Budget and Account (OPPBA) on 16 November 2014.

8. On 26 March 2015, the Applicant received the decision denying his claim.

_

¹ United Nations Operation in Côte d'Ivoire (UNOCI).

Page 2 of 5

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2017/111

Judgment No.: UNDT/2017/090

9. On 2 June 2015, the Applicant filed an application with the Tribunal challenging the ABCC decision to reject his request for compensation. His application was registered as Case No. UNDT/NBI/2015/060. In Judgment No. UNDT/2016/059 dated 13 May 2016, the Dispute Tribunal found that the ABCC did not properly determine the nexus between the Applicant's employment with the United Nations and his injuries and illness².

10. The Tribunal held that the recommendation of the ABCC to deny the Applicant's claim for compensation was unlawful. The Tribunal accordingly rescinded t

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2017/111

Judgment No.: UNDT/2017/090

13. The present application for revision is manifestly inadmissible because the Applicant has not brought to the attention of the Tribunal the existence of any new decisive fact which was unknown to the said Tribunal or to himself at the time Judgment No. UNDT/2016/059 was rendered.

14. The issue of the lack of an investigation was properly considered in Judgment No. UNDT/2016/059 where the learned judge observed at para. 26 that "[n]either the host country law enforcement nor the ONUCI Security Investigations Unit carried out an investigation into these incidents or those reported by the Applicant". Furthermore, the Applicant himself indicated in his application for revision that "those facts exist since the beginning of his case"³. Therefore, there are no grounds for a revision of Judgment No. UNDT/2016/059

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2017/111

Judgment No.: UNDT/2017/090

Entered in the Register on this 28^{th} day of November 2017

(Signed)

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Registrar, Nairobi