## **Peacebuilding Commission Working Group on Lessons Learned**

Follow-Up to the Meeting of the PBC Working Group on Lessons Learned on "Taking Stock and Looking Forward", 9 December 2009

## **QUESTIONNAIRE**

This questionnaire has been prepared as follow-up to the stimulating discussions held on 9 December 2009 in the **Working Group on Lessons Learned (WGLL)** on the theme of "Taking Stock and Looking Forward". During that discussion, there was general acknowledgement that the WGLL has a significant unrealised potential. To realise that potential and to chart a new course for the WGLL the present questionnaire aims to elicit the preferences of Member States, in particular members of the PBC, on the future direction of the WGLL.

- 1. On a scale of 1-10, in which of these functions should the WGLL be involved?
  - o Inform the PBC on best practices and lessons learned available
  - o Address key peacebuilding challenges
  - o Discuss and disseminate peacebuilding issues
  - o Inform the UN system on progress and challenges in peacebuilding
  - o To link the PBC with existing platforms such as the Peacebuilding Platform in Geneva
- 2. How significant should the role of the WGLL be with regard to outreach to academia, policymakers and civil society organisations?
  - o Very significant
  - Significant
  - o Moderately Significant
  - o Insignificant
- 3. As part of the multi-tiered engagement between the PBC and countries seeking its advice, do you believe the WGLL can play an important role as a forum for sharing experiences with countries for which a formal CSM engagement may not be required?
  - Very Strongly believe
  - o Strongly believe
  - o Moderately believe
  - Not convinced
- 4. Do you believe that the WGLL should serve as a vehicle for initial discussion of issues such as engagement and disengagement (exit strategy) of the PBC, peace

consolidation, linkages between peacekeeping and peacebuilding before they are passed on to OC?

- Very Strongly believeStrongly believeModerately believe

- Not convinced
- 5. In your view, what should be the ideal frequency for meetings of the WGLL?
  - o Once a monthD0 TcuGT10()Tin 2 m1 Ths D0 Tc0 Tw(o)TJTT81 Tf0.60 TD()TJTT2 1 Tf0.9 0